### Introduction to Chemistry in HAeBE (outer) disks

Vincent Pietu (IRAM)

# What we like to know

- Ideally, we would like to know when and how disks form, evolve and dissipate.
  - We want to understand what physical mecanisms regulate their dissipation, in particular planetary formation vs e.g. photoevaporation.
  - For that we need to measure their content and distribution, i.e. surface density or even better volumic density.
- What about chemistry then ?

# Introduction to the introduction

• What is chemistry ?

"Chemistry, a branch of physical science, is the study of the composition, structure, properties and change of matter." (Wikipedia)

- Why does chemistry matter ?
  - (Putative) disk composition: 99% gas, 1% dust
  - (Putative) gas composition: 99.99% H2, 0.01% CO
  - First reason: if we are interested in the distribution of the matter in disks, we should understand its composition
  - Second reason: disks are supposed to be the birthplace of planets

# Disks: gradients

- Radial gradient of:
  - Velocity (with Keplerian velocities)
  - Temperature (irradiation by the central star)
  - Surface density (viscous evolution)
- Vertical gradient of:
  - Temperature (irradiation by the central star)
  - Density (hydrostatic equilibrium)
- HAeBe means
  - Hotter stars, hotter disks ?
  - More uv, less X-rays



Process	Example	Midplane	Molecular	Atmosphere	Inner
	-	_	layer	-	zone
		r > 20  AU	r > 20  AU	r > 20  AU	r < 20  AU
Bond formation					
Radiative association	$C^+ + H_2 \rightarrow CH_2^+ + h\nu$	Х	Х	Х	Х
Surface formation	$H + H \  gr \rightarrow H_2 + gr$	Х	Х	0	0
Three-body	$\mathrm{H} + \mathrm{H} + \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{H_2} + \mathrm{H}$	0	0	0	Х
Bond destruction					
Photodissociation	$CO + hv \rightarrow C + O$	0	Х	Х	Х
Dissociation by CRP	$H_2 + CRP \rightarrow H + H$	Х	Х	0	0
Dissociation by X-rays	_	0	Х	Х	Х
Dissociative	$H_3O^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2O + H$	Х	Х	Х	Х
recombination					
Bond restructuring					
Neutral-neutral	$O + CH_3 \rightarrow H_2CO + H$	Х	Х	0	Х
Ion-molecule	$H_3^+ + CO \rightarrow HCO^+ + H_2$	Х	Х	Х	Х
Charge transfer	$He^+ + H_2O \rightarrow He + H_2O^+$	Х	Х	Х	Х
Unchanged bond					
Photoionization	$C + h\nu \rightarrow C^+ + e^-$	0	Х	Х	Х
Ionization by CRP	$C + CRP \rightarrow C^+ + e^-$	Х	Х	0	0
Ionization by X-rays	_	0	Х	Х	Х

Table 2: Chemical reactions active in disks

Henning & Semenov 2013

# Outline

- A word about dust
- Line formation in disks
- What can we learn from CO isotopes
- Towards molecular complexity
- Conclusions

## I. A word about dust

## Dust is a concern to chemistry

- Dust is used as tracer too. Getting the right emissivity is very important (but this will be covered elsewhere).
- Dust evolves: grain growth/dust settling through friction
- This modifies:
  - The penetration of stellar/interstellar radiation, especially uv
  - The total available surface for sticking
  - The large grain may be thermally decoupled and lock their ice mantles.

## Evidence for grain growth



Banzatti et al. 2011

### Varial radiation of grain properties



Guilloteau et al. 2011

## II. Line formation in disks



•

ullet

### Example: absorption lines



## Non Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium



Pavlyuchenkov et al. 2007

# II. CO and isotopes



- AB Aur
- Inner cavity in dust continuum
- Spiral structure seen in CO
- But spirals are apparently counterrotating !

Tang et al 2012





- Counter-rotating spirals
- Possibly tracing accretion from the enveloppe onto the star
- In a 3 Myr system ?
- Might also explain the discrepant inclinations and non-Keplerian velocities

### Measuring the temperature



- The brightness temperature of an optically thick line is equal to the kinetic temperature
- Adding the opacity effects allowing to probe more or less deeply within the disk, one can retrieve the vertical temperature gradient

Pietu et al 2007

## **Opacity effects**



### Herbig Hae stars have hotter disks



- Hotter surface
- But also hotter interior
- Also evidenced by less CO depletion due to freeze-out

Pietu et al 2007

## Well, some



- HD163296 (we already heard of this one)
- ALMA Science Verification
  data
- One sees two disks

De Gregorio et al. 2013, Rosenfeld et al 2013



#### De Gregorio et al 2013



### The Be molecular line: R Mon



Fuente et al 2006 but see also Sandell et al 2011

# III. Towards molecular complexity

## CO snowline

- Snowline corresponds to the region below which water condensates
- Found using <sup>13</sup>CO(2-1) by *Qi et al 2011*.
- DCO<sup>+</sup> confined in a ring where temperature 19 < T < 21 K. (no  $H_2D^+$  if hotter, no CO if colder).



Matthews et al. 2013

## CID (Chemistry In Disks)

- Observations with PdBI.
- C<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> (*Henning et al 2010*): found in T Tauri stars, not in Hae star (MWC480).
- N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> (*Dutrey et al 2007*): idem
- CS (Dutrey et al 2011): idem





Henning et al. 2010

## Molecules in AB Aur

Molecule	$\chi^2$ -I	$\chi^2$ -minimization method		Chemical model		DM Tau
	N	$1 \sigma$	N/N(13CO)1	Ν	$N/N(^{13}CO)^{2}$	N/N(13CO)1
	[cm <sup>-2</sup> ]	error		[cm <sup>-2</sup> ]		
$H_2$	$6 \times 10^{22}$	$1 \times 10^{22}$	$1.5 \times 10^{6}$	$5 \times 10^{22}$	$1.3 \times 10^{6}$	$1 \times 10^{7}$
13CO(*3)	$4 \times 10^{16}$	$5 \times 10^{15}$	1	$4 \times 10^{16}$	1	1
HCO+	$6 \times 10^{12}$	$3 \times 10^{11}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.5 \times 10^{13}$	$4 \times 10^{-4}$	$2 \times 10^{-3}$
HCN	$5 \times 10^{11}$	$3 \times 10^{11}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	$4 \times 10^{11}$	10-5	$7 \times 10^{-4}$
CS	$3 \times 10^{12}$	$3 \times 10^{12}$	$< 8 \times 10^{-5}$	$2 \times 10^{11}$	$5 \times 10^{-6}$	$3 \times 10^{-4}$
$C_2H$	$2 \times 10^{13}$	$2 \times 10^{13}$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	10 <sup>10</sup>	$2.5 \times 10^{-7}$	$10^{-3}$
CH <sub>3</sub> OH	0	$7 \times 10^{15}$	$<2 \times 10^{-1}$	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Relative to the <sup>13</sup>CO column density at 250 AU obtained by the  $\chi^2$ -minimization method. <sup>2</sup> Relative to the <sup>13</sup>CO column density at 250 AU obtained by the chemical modeling.

<sup>3</sup> See results reported by Pietu et al. (2005).

Lower abundances in the AB Aur disk • explained by the higher uv flux of the Herbig A0/B9 star.



## DISCS (Disks Imaging Survey of Chemistry with SMA)



- Oberg et al. 2010, Oberg et al 2011
- Small sample of 12 disks, 6 in Taurus, 6 in the South.
- Much less detections around Herbig Ae stars

## Detection of $HC_{3}N$ and $c-C_{3}H_{2}$



## 30m single-dish survey



- CN not detected in AB Aur (detection from the envelope), MWC758 (Type I objects), detected in MWC480, HD163269 (Type II objects).
- H<sub>2</sub>CO detected in sources w/o detected CN. Might be a tracer of temperature (see also Van der Marel et al. 2014 in IRS 48).



Guilloteau et al. 2013

# Measuring the turbulence

Local line width:

$$\Delta V(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2kT(r)}{\mu m_H} + \delta V_{\rm tu}(r)^2}$$

- More precise if using a heavy molecule
- Requires a good knowledge of the temperature structure and a good spectral resolution.
- 0.3 km/s (0.4 Mach number)



Hughes et al. 2011

# Conclusions

- CO found in many HAe star, maybe up to B8 or so, but HBe star do not show any cold molecular content.
- In HAe, difference in opacities allow to sample temperature gradient.
- Less depletion in HAe stars (than T Tauri), but see HD163296.
- Is even detected in some transition and debris disks (see e.g. Beta Pictoris, *Dent et al 2013*, A3 star HD21997 *Kospal et al 2013, Moor et al. 2013*).
- Molecular inventory is very scarce: CO, HCO<sup>+</sup>, HCN, CN,  $H_2$ CO, HC<sub>3</sub>N, c-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>
- Molecular content of Class II sources more important than of Class I sources (but very limited sample)
- ALMA/NOEMA will provide answers to these questions

### NOEMA sensitivity



## **Baseline** extension



Fig. 11: The 12 locations of the A configuration pads (red: existing stations, blue: planned stations) overlayed on an aerial view of the Plateau de Bure Observatory (E is right, N is top). The tracks of the current PdB array are confined within a circle (dashed) of ~760 m diameter.

# Thank you for your attention