POST-MERGER SIGNATURES OF RED-SEQUENCE GALAXIES

YUN-KYEONG SHEEN (UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN)

Take-Home Points

- **38%** VS **49%** post-merger fractions among bulgedominated red-sequence galaxies in *cluster* and *field* at $z \le 0.1$
- Previous halo (less dense environment)

those galaxies may have carried over their mergerfeatures from their previous halo environments

• **Dry-mergers(?)** there is no significant differences of GALEX UV properties between the featured galaxies and the featureless galaxies

MOTIVATION

MOTIVATION

Massive early-type galaxies formed through galaxy mergers and accretion of satellite systems

It is observationally supported by field galaxies



MOTIVATION

- Massive early-type galaxies formed through galaxy mergers and accretion of satellite systems
- It is observationally supported by field galaxies
 - However, most of massive early-type galaxies are found in galaxy clusters
- Frequent galaxy mergers in cluster environment is not likely due to large peculiar motions of galaxies
 - We tried to search post-merger signatures from redsequence galaxies in rich Abell clusters at $z \leq 0.1$

Observations and Data



★ Blanco 4-m telescope at CTIO:



MOSAIC 2 CCD + Hydra MOS













24.0

25.5

27.0

28.5

30.0

31.5

33.0

34.5





Post-merger Fractions

- Post-merger fraction among bright (Mr < -20) redsequence galaxies in the four Abell clusters is ~ 25%
- Post-merger features are more common in bright redsequence galaxies in a cluster environment



Contamination by Spirals?



- Most (~71%) of the featured galaxies were found to be bulgedominated
- For the subsample of bulge-dominated red-sequence galaxies, the post-merger fraction rises to ~38%

Morphological Examinations: A



Although the post-merger galaxies turned out to have higher 'A' values than the normal galaxies, it was not clear enough to classify galaxies



Comparison with Field



Compared to a field galaxy study with a similar limiting magnitude by van Dokkum in 2005, our cluster study presents a similar postmerger fraction but markedly lower ongoing merger fraction

- No dependence of the fractions to clustocentric distance
- Most of the post-merger galaxies may have carried over their merger features from their previous halo environment





- It is important to understand their **previous halo** environment
- In that sense, **cluster outskirts** are getting more important observationally

Evolution of Mean Merger Relic Fraction



Results of Other Cluster Surveys

Adams et al. 2012, Atkinson et al. 2013:

- \checkmark Lower fraction of tidal-featured galaxies
- √ No Spec-z
- ✓ CFHT
- ✓ Shallower deep images
- Therefore, we are continuing our survey with
 - * Spectroscopic confirmation of cluster memberships
 - **★** Deep imaging campaign for cluster outskirts
 - ***** Various dynamical stages of clusters

Wide Field Deep Optical Imaging of two Merging Clusters

- A merging cluster, A754 (z = 0.0542)
- The center of Shapley Supercluster, A3558 (z = 0.048)



DECam Deep Imaging of Merging Clusters at z = 0.05

A3558

A754



RSF Fraction with GALEX UV



2. RSF fractions in post-merger galaxies are slightly higher than that of red-sequence galaxies but it is not significant

UV-Optical two-component stellar population modeling



Take-Home Points

- **38%** VS **49%** post-merger fractions among bulgedominated red-sequence galaxies in *cluster* and *field* at $z \le 0.1$
- Previous halo (less dense environment)

those galaxies may have carried their merger-features from their previous halo environments

• **Dry-mergers(?)** there is no significant differences of GALEX UV properties between the featured galaxies and the featureless galaxies



The lack of UV bright post-merger galaxies in the center of A3330 and A389



The lack of UV bright post-merger galaxies in the center of A3330 and A389









Why z ~ 0.1?

- A lack of observational studies for this redshift range (e.g., DEEP2 sample starts z ~ 0.2 because probably they do not have enough galaxies for this redshift within a given FOV and for nearby galaxy clusters Virgo and Coma(z=0.023) are studied well)
- Large telescope with small FOV: many FOV required
- Small telescope with large FOV: poor S/N obtained
- But, z ~ 0.1 is important as a bridge linking the galaxy evolution scenarios suggested by the deep sky surveys (high-z) and SDSS (low-z)
- CTIO Blanco 4-m + MOSAIC 2 CCD (40'x40' FOV)
- Wide-field survey is possible with good S/N and reasonable spatial resolution

B/T Distributions



25

Abell 2670 (z~0.076)

AII9		A267		
	A119	A2670	A3330	A389
Chandra	45,630s	40, I 40s	20,140s	-
XMM-Newton	34,992s	33,298s	23,616s	-

ABEL1, 3330 ($\gamma \rightarrow 0.4898$) A control it of deep investor VIS in Sur each bandi MOS n(0.2 CED on Blanck) with Electropic an CD(0) $L_{2}89 (z \sim 0.113)$ nuite of up deep integes (~ 20 is for each band) IC 2 CCD on Illumit - in these ope at CTIO. Yute Kreene Steen & Subcourg K. Yi (Yonsel)