

Galaxies Étoiles Physique et Instrumentation



# Galaxy kinematics the requirements for an E-ELT (multi)-IFU

## H. Flores

### GEPI Observatoire de Paris Meudon

## (multi)-IFU: Kinematics of distant galaxies

Large number of IFU instruments in 4 and 8 m class telescopes.

Multi-IFU at ESO: FLAMES/GIRAFFE and a new one KMOS

FLAMES/GIRAFFE

Galaxy evolution TF relation

KMOS

The masses and growth of galaxies High-redshift galaxies and re-ionisation

For the future E-ELT instrument : Mass assembly and first galaxies

## Why IFUs

Local

Spiral in the past and in the present:

### link to the hubble sequence



Delgado-Serrano et al 2008

high-z

Combining SDSS survey, HST/ACS & three VLT instruments (FORS2 VIMOS & FLAMES)

z=0.6

## Why IFUs

Formation of galaxy: link to evolution of differents quantities and fundamental relation



Combining HST & VLT observations and hydro dynamical models: cumulative merger rate R match Λ-CDM semi-empirical models

Metholodogy to answer a question  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  Link to instruments

Puech et al 2012

## Local universe: From SDSS to CALIFA

Sample are under construction, can be used as comparison for distant studies

CALIFA survey (Sanchez et al., 2012)

more than 600 galaxies selected on the SDSS survey

IFU: PMAS/PPAK at calar alto. 2D maps of :

(a) stellar populations: ages and metallicities;

(b) ionized gas: distribution, excitation mechanism and chemical abundances; and

(c) kinematic properties: stellar and ionized gas.

284 velocity field



Observed at Calar Alto, PMAS/PPAK instrument

### Intermediate z: FROM MOS to MOS-IFU

### **IMAGES & MASSIV** survey

IMAGES survey: Galaxies selected from mass limited sample (GOODS field)

FORS2 and VIMOS observations (2 VLT telescopes) and then IFU

Observed using FLAMES/GIRAFFE multi-IFU



## FROM high multiplex survey to mono IFU

MASSIV survey (0.9<z< 1.5)

Sample selected in the optical VVDS survey (VIMOS instrument)

SINFONI IFU



# High fraction with **positive gradients**

Queyrel et al 2012

## At higher z SINS survey

Challenging survey: (z>1.5) 3D samples are drawn using various selection criteria which makes their representativeness more uncertain.

Major issue no dedicated survey to select galaxies to observe with the IFU....

They found a important fraction of galaxies with perturbed velocity maps

SINS/zC-SINF (Newman et al 2012) Clumps lifetime is only 100-200 Myr (Forster-Schreiber et al, 2011; Wuyts et al. 2012)



Broad spectral features below emission lines in  $z^2$  clumps

## At higher z

If we want to construct an unbiased sample till z=2, a near IR survey is needed

Proper evaluation of the relative contribution of the different galaxy populations to: -- the star formation -- stellar mass densities

Unbiased way the evolution of these fundamental quantities over the past ten billion years.

Every goes in this sense, we need a spectroscopic survey before to use the IFU

MOSAIC instrument will have a high multiplex mode !!!! >>after the survey, which kind of IFU

# **IFU definition**

Keeping in mind that when we talk about the VLT (They are 4 telescopes)

 $\rightarrow$  More than 12 instruments

The E-ELT will be unique with few instruments

We must maximize the scientific return



Among the observational modes proposed by MOSAIC

We have defined a multi-IFU

Science cases for E-ELT/ MOSAIC instrument: Two science cases used to scale the IFU

# Mass assembly



Multiplex

Spatial size and resolution

### Spectral resolution

## Goals:

- Mass assembly history (gas, stars, etc)
- Chemical enrichment history (age, metallicity, SFH)

## Context:

- Hierarchical structure formation
- Internal dynamical evolution

### Mass assembly science case

Observing modes and wavelength coverage:

Target the rest-frame optical emission lines redshifted into the near-IR

YJ- and H-bands (not simultaneously) but one band per observation K-band as optimal/desirable



Spatially-resolving properties:

- Kinematics
- metallicity
- SFR



# **GRB 091127/SN 2009nz and the VLT/X-shooter spectroscopy (SLT & IFU)** (Vergani et al., 2011)



They show that the host galaxy has a perturbed rotation kinematics with evidence of a SFR enhancement consistent with the afterglow position

Mass assembly science case

#### **Multiplex**:

FoV of the E-ELT from tens to hundreds of targets from  $2 \le z \le 5.6$ Multiplex of 10-20 is required

### Spatial resolution/image quality:

z>1 sources reveal clumpy morphologies , but they not play a major role on the bulge formation (Genel et al. 2011; Hopkins et al. 2012)

Size of high-z galaxies  $\rightarrow$  spatial sampling in the range 50-75 mas

Large-scale motions: Spatial sampling in the range 50-75 mas (Puech et al, 2008)

#### ~75 mas pixel resolve sub-M\* galaxies at $z^{4}$



(Puech et al 2008, 2010 and conference poster)

### Large scales motion:



### Spatial extent of each IFU:

Size of the galaxies on the sky and the need for good sky subtraction (dithers within the IFU) A size of 2"x2" is required

### **Spectral resolution**

• R $\ge$  3000 to target emission lines between the OH sky lines to warrant to be background limited for  $\lambda$  > 720 nm, to properly resolve the OII doublet for kinematics,

 $\bullet$  to optimise detection of faint Ly  $\alpha$  lines with observed FWHM down to 150 km/s;



At least *R=4,000-5,000* 

Better to resolve the brightest OH sky lines and identify emission lines between them

## Conclusion

All the successful IFU survey always preceded by a larger survey

MOSAIC fulfill the first condition to be a successful instrument by definition:

High multiplex mode can be used to construct a representative sample to be observed

And then MOSAIC / multi IFU mode

This mode will be used to study the kinematics and maps of physical properties of the galaxies (see Puech et al poster)

And also detect the first galaxies (SC1 white paper)

Case	Target densities	FoV/target	Spatial resolution	λ-coverage (µm)	R	
801	1-2 arcmin <sup>-2</sup>	2" × 2" <sup>3</sup>	40-90 mas	1.0-1.8 1.0-2.45	5,000	
301	10s arcmin <sup>-2</sup>	-	(GLAO)	1.0-1.8 1.0-2.45	>3,000	
802	1-2 arcmin <sup>-2</sup>	2" × 2"	50-80 mas	1.0-1.8 1.0-2.45	5,000	M
302	10s arcmin <sup>-2</sup>	-	(GLAO)	1.0-1.8 1.0-2.45	> 3,000	
	SC1 SC2	CasedensitiesSC11-2 arcmin-210s arcmin-210s arcmin-2SC21-2 arcmin-210s arcmin-210s arcmin-2	Case densities FoV/target   SC1 1-2 arcmin <sup>-2</sup> 2" × 2" <sup>3</sup> 10s arcmin <sup>-2</sup> -   SC2 1-2 arcmin <sup>-2</sup> 2" × 2"   10s arcmin <sup>-2</sup> -   SC2 10s arcmin <sup>-2</sup> -	CasedensitiesFoV/targetresolutionSC1 $1-2 \operatorname{arcmin}^{-2}$ $2" \times 2^{*3}$ 40-90 mas10s $\operatorname{arcmin}^{-2}$ $-$ (GLAO)SC2 $1-2 \operatorname{arcmin}^{-2}$ $2" \times 2"$ 50-80 mas10s $\operatorname{arcmin}^{-2}$ $-$ (GLAO)	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Table 3: Summary of top-level requirements from each Science Case