

Lessons from MAD: from the LAB to the SKY





Talk synopsis

- Statistics measured for the science demonstration runs:
 - Weather stats
 - Technical time losses
 - Science stats
- Analysis of targets acquisition which is a measure of the "operability" of MAD
- Asterism tutorial: what to do an what to avoid when choosing your NGSs asterims.





MAD on sky

Three Commissioning runs (2007): CR1 (SH): Mar 26 - Apr 5, 8 nights CR2 (SH): May 30 - Jun 6, 4 (2) +4 (GTO) nights CR3 (LO): Sep 21 - 29, 3+6 (GTO) nights Three Science demonstration runs (Shack-Hartmann mode): SD1: Nov. 24 - 30, 2007, 7 nights SD2: Jan 7 - 13, 2008, 7 nights SD3: Aug 11 - 21, 2008, 9 nights + 1T SD: 23 nights, 23 Proposals, 39 targets



MAD SD team

- Technical feasibility
- · Phase II
- Pre and post observing support
- Observations and technical support!





MAD statistics

Weather losses

- 3 Full nights lost
- 4 nights with marginal conditions
- Technical losses
 - Mostly during SD1
 - De-rotator problem
 - TF error of the HVA
 - "Beagle" contamination
- Science stats



+ĒŠ+ O

MAD Time Statistics

Time statistics MAD SDs









Time/efficiency loss: De-rotator problem

- Discovered during SD1 on long exposures for object close to zenith (faster rotation)
- Affected mostly DF and Davies field. Needed constant re-centering of NGSs on the WFS. Warped the field.
- Bug in the calculation of the counter rotator rates found and fixed "on-thefly" by Garching night (!) support.
- Cost 1.5-2 (5) hours on sky



Time/technical loss: TF error

- Symptoms: OS got stuck in a "busy" state and prevented us observations. Required multiple reboots of FIERA, RTC, HVA (in the dome!), SW environments.
- Mostly affected SD1
- Not fixed, but fail-safe "mother-of-all" recovery procedures provided by SW prevented large losses afterwards.
- Cost: few hours on sky.



Quality/efficiency loss: "The Beagle"

- •Dependent on:
 - Camera position
 - DIT
 - Observing conditions (brightness of the sky, time of observation, etc)
- •Variable in time (sky variability) with timescales of few minutes (independently of observing filter).
- •Cannot be perfectly corrected even when the camera kept in position.
- •Effects minimized at the cost of observing efficiency











MAD Science

Target completion chart







Typical AO acquisition (e.g. NACO Imaging)

Automatized/Template driven:

- 1. Preset the telescope/Instr. setup
- 2. AO setup
- 3. AO guide star (1! TTS + laser star in LGS mode) acquisition (sky subtraction + centering).
- 4. Optimization
- 5. Close the loop
- 6. Target acquisition (centering of the field on CONICA)



MAD acquisition steps

Template driven:

- 1. Preset the telescope
- 2. AO Setup
- 3. Acquire the 3 (!) NGSs
- 4. Target acquisition (Center the science field)

Performed manually:

- 5. Centering of the 3 (!) NGS on WFSs
- 6. Adjust AO parameters and reacquire NGSs
- 7. Measurement of the IM/CM (various steps)
- 8. Close the loop Optimize (e.g loop gain)
- 9. CAMCAO setup (focus)

10. Measure magnitudes





MAD Acquisition time

Histogram of MAD acquisition times



Mean value is 20.3 ± 5.0 , Min=9, Max is 32. It includes the 6 minutes telescope preset

Paola Amico - MAD Operations - June 8th, 2009

Slide 16/22







VLT Instruments acquisition times (including 6 minutes telescope preset)



Ū.



+ES+

The perfect MAD asterism (Thank you Ric!)





+ES+









A non-advisable asterism Magnitude ratios









Non-feasible asterisms Wrong geometries





Questions?



Paola Amico - MAD Operations - June 8th, 2009



