

Brown Dwarf Retrievals on FIRE! Atmospheric Retrieval of a T9 Dwarf with Medium Resolution Spectroscopy

Callie Hood (UCSC) Jonathan Fortney (UCSC) Mike Line (ASU)



Brown dwarfs are a testbed for our understanding of chemistry and physics in cool atmospheres

constant

Normalized flux (F_{\lambda})



Wagner et al. 2016







Retrievals can yield complementary insight to grid models on brown dwarf spectra

Grid Models

- Pro: Self-consistent, physical combination of (typically fewer) parameters
- Con: Many assumptions, i.e. radiative/convective equilibrium

Retrievals

- Pro: Bayesian inverse models, less assumptions
- Con: More parameters, possibly unphysical solutions



Line et al. 2017





What can we learn at higher spectral resolution?



- JWST: 11 GTO programs on substellar objects with NIRSPec R ~ 1000 or 2700 spectra
- At higher spectral resolution:
 - Molecules can be resolved into distinct lines
 - The upper atmosphere is more readily probed
- The data: FIRE ($R \sim 6,000$) spectrum of the nearby T9 dwarf, UGPS J072227.51-054031.2
 - S/N ~ 200 over 0.9-2.5 microns
 - Bochanski et al. 2011 identified H2O, K, CH4, and NH3 features, as well as determined physical parameters by fitting to grid models

















Gas Mixing Ratios

Temperature Profile

Cloud Model

Gravity, (R/D)^2

RV, vsini

Gas Mixing Ratios at Each Leve

Temperature at Each Level

Cloud **Properties at** Each Level

Instrumental **Parameters**

Forward Model

Output



MCMC Iteration

Adapted from Joe Zalesky





Results from the smoothed FIRE spectrum agree well with SpeX results



At FIRE resolution, new NH3 and CH4 line lists clearly a better match to the data



Yurchenko+ (2011) NH₃ CoYuTe (2019) NH₃

1.550 1.555 Wavelength (micron)

1.560







-5.10 -5.15 Yurchenko+(2011) NH₃, $-5.19^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$





At FIRE resolution, new NH3 and CH4 line lists clearly a better match to the data



HITEMP, $-3.32^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$

Comparison of preliminary FIRE and SpeX results:



-12 -10 SpeX, -7.98^{+2.51}_{-2.71} **-12 -10 SpeX,** -7.57^{+2.91}_{-3.10} -8 -6 -8 **Smoothed FIRE,** -4.58^{+0.22}_-1.83



-6 -4 Smoothed FIRE, -4.76^{+0.05}_{-0.05}

Increased spectral resolution = greater precision on molecular abundances



Wavelength (microns)



We can compare our results to the ATMO 2020 non-equilibrium chemistry models.



ATMO: Phillips+ 2020



FIRE retrieved abundances agree well with the ATMO strong mixing model... but at higher gravity



Volume Mixing Ratio



FIRE retrieved abundances agree well with the ATMO strong mixing model... but the T-P profiles don't quite match







Summary and Next Steps

- then will move on to a population of late T dwarfs observed with FIRE.
- 3. Our retrieved abundances are reasonable compared to ATMO non equilibrium profile.

1. In preparation for high signal-to-noise and medium resolution spectra with JWST, we need to assess how our current modeling tools and theory compare to these better quality observations. To that end, I am working on applying the CHIMERA framework to medium resolution spectroscopy of brown dwarfs - starting with one test object,

2. The increased spectral resolution of FIRE ($R \sim 6000$) compared to SpeX ($R \sim 100$) gives much more precise constraints on the T-P profile and chemical abundances, particularly of CO and H₂S. Choice of line list matters greatly for these kinds of observations, with the potential to lead to very different retrieved abundances.

chemistry models, but there are lingering questions around the surface gravity and T-P



