### Evidence for past Roche-lobe overflow in two O-type binaries

Gregor Rauw<sup>1</sup>, Françoise Raucq<sup>1</sup>, Laurent Mahy<sup>1,2</sup>, Yaël Nazé<sup>1</sup>, & Eric Gosset<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Liège University, Belgium
 <sup>2</sup> KU-Leuven, Belgium

#### Outline

Mass and angular momentum transfer in massive binaries.Methods.

- HD149404: a detached post RLOF binary
- LSS3074: a contact binary with odd properties
- Conclusions and open issues.

## Mass and angular momentum transfer in massive binaries

A large fraction (~ 80%) of massive stars are found in binary systems (Sana et al. 2012, Science 337, 444, Duchêne & Kraus, 2013 ARA&A 51, 269) and many of them will interact at some point of their evolution (de Mink et al. 2014, ApJ 782, 7).



- Several aspects of the binary interaction in massive stars are not yet well constrained:
  - What fraction of the transferred mass is accreted, and what fraction is lost (e.g. Petrovic et al. 2005, A&A 435, 1013)?
  - Does the common envelope phase lead to merger events or does it produce double degenerate systems (e.g. Kruckow et al. 2016, A&A 596, A58)?
  - What fraction of the angular momentum is removed by material that is lost from the system (e.g. De Donder & Vanbeveren 2004, New AR 48, 861)?
  - Theoretical work needed, but observational studies of massive post-RLOF systems are also scarce: only about a dozen good candidates of post-RLOF O-star binaries are known (Nazé et al. 2017, MNRAS 467, 501) and only a handful have been studied in detail.

#### Methods

- Determination of properties of the binary components requires access to the individual spectra of both stars in addition to orbital parameters.
- Spectral disentangling based on the method of González & Levato (2006, A&A 448, 283) + model atmosphere fitting using CMFGEN (Hillier & Miller 1998, ApJ 496, 407) to derive fundamental properties such as  $T_{eff}$ , log g, v sin(*i*) and chemical abundances (C, N, O, He).

- Method previously applied to HD47129 (Linder et al. 2008, A&A 489, 713) and LZ Cep (Mahy et al. 2011, A&A 533, A9).
- Evidence for a past RLOF episode was found in these systems:
   Strong abundance anomalies due to removal of the mass donor's outer layers.
  - Asynchronous rotation due to transfer of angular momentum.
  - Over- or under-luminosities compared to single star evolutionary tracks of same mass.
  - E.g. HD47129 = Plaskett's Star (O8III/I + O7.5III,  $P_{orb} = 14.4$ days, e = 0):

	Primary	Secondary
v sin i (km/s)	66 ± 9	310 ± 20
He/He <sub>.</sub> ,	1.17 ± 0.35	$1.76 \pm 0.53$
C/C	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	1.0 (fixed)
N/N <sub>¢</sub>	16.6 ± 5.0	$0.2 \pm 0.1$



#### HD149404: a detached post RLOF binary

- HD149404: O7.5 If + ON9.7 I, P = 9.81 days, e = 0.0 (Rauw et al. 2001, A&A 368, 212)
- ON spectral-type  $\rightarrow$  good candidate for chemical enrichment due to case-A RLOF.
- Spectral disentangling based on FEROS and Coralie echelle data previously used for orbital solution (Raucq et al. 2016, A&A 588, A10):



- Inferred abundances incompatible with single star evolution.No detectable enhancement of He abundance.
  - [N/C]<sub>secondary</sub> ~ 150 [N/C]<sub> $\bigcirc$ </sub> consistent with predictions for post case B mass exchange, but HD149404 should be a post case A system.

91 ( Bard	Primary	Secondary	2
M (M <sub><i>j</i></sub> )	50.5 ± 20.1	31.9 ± 9.5	
He/He	1.12 (fixed)	1.12 (fixed)	
C/C	$0.38 \pm 0.04$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $
N/N <sub>¢</sub>	$1.95 \pm 0.30$	$10.6 \pm 3.2$	
0/0 <sub>.j</sub> ,	$1.50 \pm 0.22$	$0.16 \pm 0.03$	$-1$ $-1$ $-1$ $0$ $1$ $2$ $\log (N/0)$

- Primary star rotation period  $\sim \frac{1}{2}$  secondary star rotation period ( $\approx$  orbital period).
- HD149404 has undergone case A RLOF where the present-day secondary was the initially more massive star (Raucq et al. 2016, A&A 588, A10).

#### LSS3074: a contact binary with odd properties

- LSS3074: O5.5 If<sup>+</sup> + O6.5-7If, P = 2.185 days, e = 0.0 (Raucq et al. 2017, A&A 601, A133)
- Of<sup>+</sup> star = transition object between O and WN star
- Previous studies reported surprisingly low dynamical masses (Morrell & Niemela 1990, ASPC 7, 57).
- Analysis based on high-resolution FEROS and EMMI spectra + ANDICAM (B, V, R, I) photometry.



	Primary	Secondary	
$T_0$ (HJD - 2450000)	2000.851	$1 \pm 0.008$	
$\gamma (\mathrm{kms^{-1}})$	$-66.0 \pm 5.0$	$-21.7 \pm 4.7$	
$K ({\rm km}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$228.5 \pm 7.1$	$196.0 \pm 6.1$	
$a \sin i (R_{\odot})$	$9.9 \pm 0.3$	$8.5 \pm 0.3$	
$q = m_1/m_2$	$0.86 \pm 0.04$		
$m\sin^3 i (M_{\odot})$	$8.0 \pm 0.5$	$9.3 \pm 0.7$	
$R_{\rm RL}/(a_1 + a_2)$	$0.37 \pm 0.01$	$0.39 \pm 0.01$	
$R_{\rm RL} \sin i (R_{\odot})$	$6.7 \pm 0.2$	$7.2 \pm 0.2$	
$\sigma_{ m fit}$	3.11		

- N III, N IV and NV lines mostly follow orbital motion of primary star
- Hα emission line displays complex variations with Doppler map suggesting circumstellar material (colliding winds?) but nothing alike an accretion disk or jet of material between the stars.



# CMFGEN analysis of disentangled spectra (Raucq et al. 2017, A&A 601, A133) fails to simultaneously reproduce the strength of the N III, N IV and NV lines.



	Primary	Secondary
He/He <sub>.</sub> ,	2.80	1.0
C/C	≤ 0.24	$\leq 0.08$
N/N <sub>¢</sub>	8.4 ± 5.2	5.5 ± 1.3
0/0 <sub><i>j</i></sub>	$\leq 0.05$	≤ 0.02

- Spectroscopy yields brightness ratio (primary/secondary) of  $2.50 \pm 0.43$ .
  - But photometric data reveal ellipsoidal variations consistent with over-contact configuration and brightness ratio of 1.09!



Parameters	Primary	Secondary	
i (°)	$54.5 \pm 1.0$		
$q = m_1/m_2$	0.86 (fixed)		
Filling factor <sup>a</sup>	$1.008 \pm 0.010$	$1.008 \pm 0.010$	
$T_{\rm eff}({\rm K})$	39 900 (fixed)	34 100 (fixed)	
$m(M_{\odot})$	$14.8 \pm 1.1$	$17.2 \pm 1.4$	
$R_{\rm pole}(R_{\odot})$	7.8	8.4	
$\chi^2$	1820.7		
$N_{\rm d.o.f.}$	415		

Inferred inclination yields dynamical masses of only 14.8 (O5.5 If primary) and 17.2 M<sup>\*</sup> (O6.5-7If secondary)!

Overall stellar parameters do not concur with those of genuine Ostar supergiants, but could be biased by strong radiation pressure making LSS3074 an **O-supergiant impostor** similar to Cyg OB2 #5 (= V729 Cygni, Linder et al. 2009, A&A 495, 231).

Binary properties suggest that the system is currently in a slow phase of case B RLOF and is evolving into a WR + late O binary system (Raucq et al. 2017, A&A 601, A133).

#### Conclusions and open issues

HD149404 underwent a RLOF episode that stopped before the entire outer envelope of the mass donor was removed.
LSS 3074 is likely in an over-contact configuration, on its way to become a CQ Cep – like WR binary.

	Spectral type	P <sub>orb</sub>	Asynchronicity	[N/C] / [N/C]
LSS3074	O5.5 If <sup>+</sup> + O6.5-7If	2.185 days	~ 1	> 35
LZ Cep	O9 III + ON9.7 V	3.07 days	~ 1	~ 180
HD149404	O7.5 If + ON9.7 I	9.81 days	~ 2	~ 150
HD47129	08 III/I + 07.5 III	14.4 days	~ 5	~ 80

Spin-up of the mass gainer due to momentum transfer seems more efficient in wider systems as expected (Langer 2012, ARA&A, 50, 107), but *trend* needs further confirmation.



### Possible rejuvenation of primary star in HD149404 (Raucq et al. 2016, A&A 588, A10).

Primary and secondary star currently fill ~52 and ~87% of their Roche lobes (Raucq et al. 2016, A&A 588, A10).



	This study		
	Prim.	Sec.	
$R(R_{\odot})$	$19.3 \pm 2.2$	$25.9 \pm 3.4$	
$M(M_{\odot})$	$50.5 \pm 20.1$	$31.9 \pm 9.5$	
$T_{\rm eff} \ (10^4  {\rm K})$	$3.40 \pm 0.15$	$2.80 \pm 0.15$	
$\log\left(\frac{L}{L_{\odot}}\right)$	$5.63 \pm 0.05$	$5.58 \pm 0.04$	
$\log g$ (cgs)	$3.55 \pm 0.15$	$3.05 \pm 0.15$	
β	1.03 <sup>f</sup>	$1.08^{f}$	
$v_{\infty} (\mathrm{km}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	2450 <sup>f</sup>	2450 <sup>f</sup>	
$\dot{M}$ ( $M_{\odot}$ yr <sup>-1</sup> )	$9.2 \times 10^{-7f}$	$3.3 \times 10^{-7f}$	
BC	-3.17	-2.67	