# Chemical composition of stars in high-mass binaries

#### K. Pavlovski

Dept. of Physics, University of Zagreb, Croatia

J. Southworth

Keele University, Staffordshire, UK

#### A. Tkachenko

Institute of Astronomy, KU Leuven, Belgium

ESO Conference on *The Impact of Binaries on Stellar Evolution*, Garching, 3-7 July 2017



Getting accurate fundamental stellar quantities

- Mass discrepancy (matching theoretical evolutionary models)
- Photospheric chemical composition

### Legacy of detached binaries





Detailed analysis of detached binaries provides accurate astrophysical quantities (mass, radius, effective temperature, age, rotation velocity, distance).

Chemical abundances could be determined from disentangled individual spectra of the components.

Evolutionary models can be calibrated for the masses, effective temperatures and rotation.

#### Toward the accurate stellar quantites



#### Toward the accurate stellar quantites



# Disentangling: how to do it?



(By courtesy of Doug Gies)

#### **Spectral Disentangling in practice**



Spectral disentangling provides the orbital elements and separated individual spectra of the components (Simon & Sturm 1994, Hadrava 1995).

Public codes: KOREL FDBinary CRES TANGLE SPECTANGULAR PSOAP RaveSpan(Poster #64 by Pilecki)

Pavlovski & Southworth, MNRAS, 394, 1519 (2009)

# Detecting a faint companion



Δ*m* ~ 5 mag

Only SB2 among 4 giants in the Hyades cluster.

δ1 Tau is SB1, ε
Tau has a planet, δ
Tau uncertain
speckle binary

Beck et al. (2015) found solar-like oscillations.

Beck, Pavlovski, Torres, et al. (2017, in preparation)

# **Component spectra: astrophysics**

- access to individual components
- atmospheric diagnostics
- detail abundance analysis
- M/L relation in different-metallicity environments
- tests of stellar evolution models
- distance indicators (galactic & extragalactic)
- individuality at same age, and same mass (pulsations, chemical peculiarity, chromospheric activity...)
- spectroscopic detection of eclipses
- spectroscopic light ratio

#### Astrophysical value of high-mass stars

- High-mass stars are cosmic engines (a key ingredient in the evolution of galaxies)
- Only 3 high-mass stars per 1000 stars (but they contain about 15% of the stellar mass)
- On short timescales they inject into the interstellar medium great amounts of radiation, mass and mechanical energy
- The most luminous objects, either as stars or in supernova explosions
- Important for the cosmological distance ladder

Recall talks by Norbert Langer and Hugo Sana on Wednesday

#### The sample



PARAMETER SPACE Periods: 1.6 - 33 d Masses: 8 - 22 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> log *g*: 3.1 - 4.3 [cgs] *v* sin *i*: 30 - 240 km/s

Evolutionary models for non-rotating stars after Ekström et al. (2012) and Georgy et al. (2013)

#### The sample



 PARAMETER SPACE

 Periods: 1.6 - 33 d 

 Masses:  $8 - 22 M_{\odot}$  

 log g: 3.1 - 4.3 [cgs]

 v sin i: 30 - 240 km/s

Evolutionary models for non-rotating stars after Ekström et al. (2012) and Georgy et al. (2013)

# V380 Cyg: Kepler photometry



### V380 Cyg: HERMES@Mercator







406 echelle spectra secured with <u>HERMES@Mercator</u>

 $(R = 8\overline{4\ 000})$ 

# NLTE spectrum synthesis



NLTE calculations: ATLAS9 (Kurucz) DETAIL (Giddings) SURFACE (Butler) Model atoms (Butler, Przybilla, Nieva)

Tunning the effective temperature for V578 Mon HERMES spectra

Garcia et al. (2015)

# Error budget for V380 Cyg

Quantity	Value	p.e.	Uncertainty
$M [M_{\odot}]$	11.4	0.2	1.7%
$R [R_{\odot}]$	15.7	0.1	0.6%
log g [cgs]	3.104	0.006	0.006 dex
$T_{\rm eff}[\rm K]$	21700	300	1.4%
<i>v</i> sin <i>i</i> [km/s]	98	2	2.0%

# V380 Cyg: MESA modelling



# V380 Cyg: MESA modelling





The mass discrepancy of  $\sim 10\%$  transforms into the age uncertainty of  $\sim 50\%$ .

# **OB** binaries with HARPS@ESO

V1034 Sco





 $R = 115\ 000$ 

### "Mass discrepancy"

#### V1034 Sco

**V573** Car

#### V346 Cen



Mass discrepancy: disagrement between the spectroscopic and evolutionary masses for a hot, single stars (Herrero et al.1992).

In binary stars the masses are determined from dynamics, and are model indipendent.

### "Mass discrepancy"

#### V1034 Sco

#### **V573 Car**

#### V346 Cen



## **VLT/FLAMES** survey



Surface evolution of nitrogen VLT/FLAMES diagram (Hunter et al. 2009)

# **VLT/FLAMES** survey



# The observations challenged the concept of rotational mixing



Surface evolution of nitrogen VLT/FLAMES diagram (Hunter et al. 2009)

### CNO for V380 Cyg



 $log(N/C) = -0.65 \pm 0.05$  $log(N/O) = -1.09 \pm 0.09$ 

Tkachenko et al., MNRAS, 438, 3093 (2014)

# **CNO** for Spica



Tkachenko et al.MNRAS (2016)

### CNO V621 Per in $\chi$ Per



 $v \sin i i = 32 \pm 0.5$  km/s

Southworth et al., 2017, in prep.

# Single stars vs Binary components



Symbols:
Nieva & Przybilla (2015)
Lyubimkov et al. (2016)
OB binaries (this work)

# Single stars vs Binary components



# Summary

- Discrepancy between dynamical and evolutionary mass is present for highmass stars, the components of binary systems (binary components are overluminous for their mass).
- No pronounced changes in the surface CNO abundances are found, so far, for the high mass stars in binaries in a range of  $M = 8 22 M_{\odot}$ , contrary to single stars.
- What is suppressing internal mixing and transport of CNO products to the surface layers in binary components?