

André Offringa (ASTRON Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy)

2015-09-06

#### Outline

- Introduction: Why data editing
- Radio Frequency Interference (RFI)
- Plotting data (CASA, aoqplot)
- Manual data flagging
- Automatic RFI flagging algorithms
- Data averaging
- Sources of imaging errors

Why data editing?

#### Why data editing?



Some antennas might not have been functioning properly...

Why data editing?

- Broken elements (antennas/stations)
- Correlator malfunctions
- Shadowing
- Initial pointing delay
- Bandpass issues
- Low elevation
- Correlated noise on some baselines (e.g. LOFAR split stations)
- Interference

Why data editing?

- Broken elements → remove antennas
- Correlator malfunctions → remove timesteps
- Shadowing → remove antennas in time range
- Initial pointing delay → remove first timesteps
- Bandpass issues → remove channels
- Low elevation → remove antennas with low elevation
- Correlated noise on some baselines

   (e.g. LOFAR split stations) → Flag baselines
- Interference → remove antennas, timestep, frequencies or baselines...

## Data can't be (self-)calibrated when any of these issues are still in the data.

Therefore, data inspection & editing is the first step :

```
INSPECTION + EDITING

(DATA AVERAGING)

CALIBRATION

IMAGING
```

First step in data reduction: Data inspection (example of casaplotms on other screen)

- Start casaplotms
- Open MS ('3c196\_spw5\_sub1.ms')
- Press 'plot' (plots amplitude vs time)
- Goto 'axes', select "frequency" as x-axis RFI is visible
- Select 'antenna1' antenna5 has no data
- (Enter:

antenna: "0;13"
msselect: "ANTENNA1!=ANTENNA2" )

#### casaplotms



#### casaplotms

• What should we see in casaplotms (time vs amplitude) if we observe a single unresolved (=delta function) source with a certain flux?

(That's what we want calibrators to be – strong (i.e. dominating / 'single') and unresolved)

#### casaplotms

- casaplotms is useful for many things:
  - Browsing for bad antennas, frequencies, etc.
  - Also useful for inspecting calibration results
  - Or getting an idea of model data
  - Further discussed in Andy Biggs' tutorial
- Many observatories have specialized plotting tools

#### Removing data

- If an issue is found (bad antenna, baseline, channels, ...) how do we remove it from our dataset?
  - We don't actually remove data, we '**flag**' data and ignore these in further processing.
  - Flagging is not the same as setting to zero(!)
- 'taql' is a useful tool for data editing.

### TaQL (Table Query Language)

- TaQL is an 'SQL'-like language for quick data editing of CASA data.
- Command line tool 'taql' available, easy for scripting
- Be careful when editing! Always keep backups.
- Some examples: (from the cmdline)
  - taql "select unique TIME from obs.ms"
  - taql "update obs.ms set FLAG=true where ANTENNA1==ANTENNA2"
- → See taql doc ("casacore note 199") http://www.astron.nl/casacore/trunk/casacore/doc/notes/199.html

#### Other ways to edit data...

- CASA task 'flagdata'
  - → Andy Biggs' tutorial
- Or, for CASA data: Write Python scripts
- Other packages have their own scripting languages / tasks

#### Radio-Frequency Interference

- Our radio spectrum is almost entirely allocated to services other than radio astronomy
- FM, airplane communication, satellite downlink, remote controls, digital broadcasts, ...
- Also "accidental" and natural occurrence of RFI:

 Cars, electrical fences, high-voltage lines (anything that sparks), lightning, the sun, etc.

• RFI can cause (self-)calibration to fail and/or reduce imaging sensitivity

#### Example of LOFAR data with RFI



#### RFI

- Lots of interference at low frequencies (<1.5 GHz, e.g. LOFAR, GMRT, WSRT, EVLA, MWA, ...)
- Less of an issue for
  - higher frequencies (ALMA); or
  - VLBI

but mitigation still required in most cases.

#### **Excising RFI**

- Detection methods are common in radio astronomy
- Common methods:
  - Manual selection by data reducing astronomer
  - Thresholding / specialized project pipelines (e.g. Baan et al. 2004, Winkel et al. 2007)
- Manual selection is not practical for modern observatories:
  - Enormous data volumes, computationally fast algorithms required.
  - Needs to be more accurate than thresholding

#### RFI stages / strategies

Many RFI excision options:

- Online pre-/post-correlation mitigation
  - Memory/computational constraints
  - Required for coherent (high time res) modes
- Offline mitigation
  - Post-optimizable, not real-time, data can be reordered
- LOFAR: Station level spatial filtering
  - Expensive, low SNR, only "one chance"
  - Allows data recovery

#### Automated excision of RFI

- Two classes of RFI excision methods:
  - Detection: find & throw away affected data
  - Filtering or subtracting: estimate RFI contribution and restore affected data
- Detection methods ("flagging") commonly used
  - Some specialized pipelines for surveys or instruments
- Filtering RFI is harder
  - Resulting data quality is not well understood
  - Requires more resources
  - Lack of full (automated) filtering pipelines

#### The AOFlagger (example of automated RFI detection) External package<sup>1</sup>, works with CASA sets



Offringa et al., MNRAS (2010), Offringa et al., A&A (2012)

<sup>1</sup>AOFlagger can be downloaded from <a href="http://aoflagger.sourceforge.net/">http://aoflagger.sourceforge.net/</a>





#### Subtracted "background"

#### High-frequency components

......

Binter meretet att mit mellingenisten unter tellenet feinen interestionen bei eine

a an a that a what a second descent and a grant districts and " hitse spirit as do a fer second

the second second

Million & Marchel









#### What could go wrong??



- What could go wrong?
  - Some astronomical sources vary quickly in time (sun, pulsars, ...)
  - Quick fringes are line-like patterns
  - Spectral line observations

# • Mostly not an issue – sources are *mostly* much weaker than RFI, and invisible in single correlations.



#### Accuracy & speed

#### • Flaggers have to be *accurate*, but also *fast*



MAD flagger (comparable to Pyflag, Miriad's flagger, AIPS flagger)

AOFlagger

#### WSRT data example



#### WSRT data example



#### Thresholding vs. AOFlagger

MWA 3 min observation with 32 tiles



Image credit: Natasha Hurley-Walker (MWA data)

4

#### More about AOFlagger

- (Almost) same algorithm can be used for many telescopes
  - Software has been successfully used for:
     LOFAR (Offringa et al 2012), MWA (Offringa et al. 2015),
     WSRT, JVLA, GMRT, ATCA, Parkes, Arecibo, and
     BIGHORNS
- I don't know if it is used for ALMA...
- For Miriad users: Miriad has an implementation of AOFlagger
- SumThreshold algorithm available in E-Merlin "SERPent" pipeline (Peck & Fenech, 2013)

#### **RFI excision for LOFAR**

- LOFAR's case:
  - Fully automated detection, only a few % lost data
  - Only small residuals, do not affect image quality
- Why such good results?
  - LOFAR has very high time/freq resolutions
  - Design has accounted for interference
  - High accuracy of algorithms
- Some transmitters do remain problematic (e.g., DAB, FM, wind turbines)
- Tweaking still required for special cases

#### Analysing RFI

(Demo: open rfigui in other window)

- Open set, goto RT1 x RT2.
- Execute strategy
- Edit strategy: change flagged polarizations, change sumthreshold sensitivity
- Save strategy
- Execute 'aoflagger' on cmdline.

#### Further analyses

(Demo: open aoqplot in other window)

- casaplotms is slow for very big files
- aoqplot can give a quick overview



#### • Always flag (first) at highest possible resolution:

Averaged without RFI detection:

#### **Highest resolution:**

#### 200 - 0 X rfiaui File Plot Browse Simulate Data Actions Help File Plot Browse Simulate Data Actions WSRT RT0 x WSRT RT1 WSRT RT0 x WSRT RT1 0.05 0.05 149.2 149.2 0.045 0.045 149 149 148.8 148.8 0.04 0.04 148.6 148.6 0.035 0.035 148.4 148.4 0.03 0.03 (ZH48.2 MW) Source MW 148-2 MW 148-2 MW 148-2 MW 148-2 MW 148-2 MW 148-2 MW 148.2 ()() ()() 0.025 0.015 0.025 148 0.02 147.8 <sup>ت 1</sup>47.6 147.6 0.015 BALLING ST. LINE DOLLAR 147.4 147.4 0.01 0.01 147.2 147.2 0.005 0.005 147 147 20:40 20:50 21:00 21:10 21:20 21:30 21:20 21:30 20:40 20:50 21:00 21:10 Time (UTC. hh:mm:ss) averaged-no-flagging.ms/: WSRT RT0 x WSRT RT1 x=183,v=191,value=0.0100836 (t=21:05:04.999, 2007-12-09, f=148,72 MHz, uvw=18.9099,-1

Flagging is incremental: don't reset flags!

 (e.g. taql update obs.ms set FLAG=false)
 Correlator might have set flags. These will be lost. To undo flagging, use backup (column).

#### Averaging & smearing

- Data size can be reduced by averaging data in time and/or frequency direction
- Only average *after* RFI detection

- Over-averaging causes *smearing* 
  - *Time-smearing:* in tangential direction
  - *Frequency-smearing*: in radial direction
- Calibration might also constrain averaging factor
   → Next talk by George Heald

#### Bandwidth smearing

Off-axis sources fringe faster (→ Neal Jackson's lecture) Smearing is proportional to distance from phase centre





OK





#### Smearing

- General rule: phase turn along time / frequency should be sampled << 1/4th of a turn.
- Example with 1" resolution (e.g. LOFAR international baseline) and 1 deg off-axis source:
  - Source is 3600 resolution elements away
  - Phase turns ~3600 times in 6 hours (or over observing frequency)
  - Need ~14000 samples in 6 hours
  - Time res Δt < ~2 s (Δv < ~10 kHz @ 150 MHz).</li>

#### Data averaging with CASA

- (Demo: casa split)
- Example: (from casapy shell)
   inp split
   vis='3C196\_spw5\_sub1.MS'(input)
   outputvis='averaged.MS'
   width=8 (Average over 8 channels)
   timebin='60s' (Average over 60 s)
   go

#### **Original resolution:**

#### After averaging:



x=87,y=176,value=0.0182036 (t=20:49:05.000, 2007-12-09, f=148.57 MHz, uvw=8.87919,-107.122,...

averaged.ms/: WSRT RT0 x WSRT RT1

#### Averaging DATA

- Processing data can be very time expensive, but almost all steps scale linear with nr. of visibilities.
- Work on averaged data (and/or subset) while experimenting with settings

```
anoko@DOP348:~/ERIS2015$ du 3Cl96_spw5_subl.MS/ -sh
998M 3Cl96_spw5_subl.MS/
anoko@DOP348:~/ERIS2015$ du averaged.ms/ -sh
45M averaged.ms/
anoko@DOP348:~/ERIS2015$
```

#### NDPPP: Averaging LOFAR data

- Almost all telescopes have existing sets of scripts to do preprocessing... Use them!
- 'split' task does not work well on LOFAR data (see LOFAR cookbook for details)
- Instead, a specialized LOFAR pipeline was made to perform several steps at once
- NDPPP: "New Default Pre-processing Pipeline"
- Can run aoflagger and perform averaging at once (as well as several other things)
- See LOFAR Cookbook for detailed info
- (MWA has a similar pipeline called 'cotter').

#### Averaging DATA

- Processing data can be very expensive, but almost all steps scale linear with nr. of visibilities.
- While experimenting with settings, work on averaged data

#### Summary

- First step in data processing is data inspection
- Second step is data flagging ...or isn't it?

#### Summary

- First step in data processing is data inspection
- Second step is data flagging
- Second step is BACKUP YOUR DATA
- Third step is data flagging and RFI detection
- Calibration, imaging, ... to be discussed!

#### Summary

- I've shown:
  - Data inspection (with e.g. CASA casaplotms, rfigui and aoqplot)
  - Flagging data manually (with taql)
  - Automated RFI detection (with the AOFlagger)
  - Data averaging (with CASA split or NDPPP)
  - Issues with insufficient resolution (smearing, bad RFI detection)
- Good luck!