#### Dynamical models of the Galactic Bulge based on survey data

Matthieu Portail, Christopher Wegg, Ortwin Gerhard and collaborators

ESO Rainbows on the Southern Sky, Wednesday October, 7<sup>th</sup>

MPE



#### Dynamical modeling of the Galactic B/P bulge

Wegg & Gerhard, MNRAS, 435, 1874 (2013)

Portail, Wegg, Gerhard & Martinez-Valpuesta, MNRAS, 448, 713 (2015)

#### **Total mass and Dark Matter - IMF relation**

#### **Orbital structure of Box/Peanut bulges**

+ Portail, Wegg & Gerhard MNRAS, 450, 66L (2015)

-----

## The 3D density of the Galactic bulge



- Deconvolution of magnitude distributions from the VVV survey using Red Clump stars as tracers
- The stellar density is proportional to Red Clump density for an old population



### **BRAVA** kinematics

- BRAVA survey obtained radial velocity for about 10 000 M giants
- Provide mean line-of-sight velocity and dispersion in about 80 fields through the bulge



 We are now working on including data from more recent surveys (ARGOS & APOGEE)

Rich et al. (2007)

#### Dynamical modeling

Mass and IMF

#### Dynamical modeling – M2M method

 We evolve a near-equilibrium stellar disk embedded in different dark matter haloes.





## M2M modeling of the Galactic Bulge

 We can recover the stellar mass required by the model to match the BRAVA dispersion in its dark matter halo.



# Total mass of the bulge

• We measure the **total mass** in the bulge  $\pm (2.2 \times 1.4 \times 1.2 \text{kpc})$ to be 1.84 10<sup>10</sup> M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> 2.2  $\times 10^{10}$ 



- We find a systematic error on the total mass of less than 5%
- We have equally good models of the bulge with different dark matter fraction.

Mass and IMF

#### Dark matter – IMF relation

- We use the COBE/DIRBE K-band measurements, and correct for extinction using the extinction map from Wegg & Gerhard (2013)
- The Salpeter IMF can be ruled out, predicting a too large mass-to-light ratio
- Zoccali IMF imply about 40% dark matter in the bulge while the Calamida IMF imply only about 12%.



## Orbital structure of Box/Peanut bulges

- In 2D, the bar is mostly made out of elongated orbits called x<sub>1</sub> orbits
- In 3D, x<sub>1</sub> becomes unstable and leads to the birth of the x<sub>1</sub> tree
- The simplest member of the  $x_1$  tree is the banana orbits  $(x_1 v_1)$



According to the literature, banana orbits form the backbone of Box/Peanut bulges.

#### Bananas orbits

Contopoulos & Barbanis (1994), Pfenniger&Friedeli (1991), Patsis' work

**Orbital structure** 

Conclusion

#### Peanuts, brezels and bananas: food for thought on the orbital structure of the Galactic bulge

- Orbit classification based on frequency analysis
- The peanut shape is the sum of embedded peanuts
   Brezel orbits -> 3
- The bananas...
  - ... extend too far out to make the X-shape 📱
  - ... contain only a small fraction of the mass
- Stars do not always « stream along the arms of the X-shape »



**Orbital structure** 

**Bananas** orbits

Conclusion

## Work in progress

- Include more data from many surveys:
  - ARGOS
     OGLE
     GAIA-ESO
  - APOGEE
    GAIA
    ...
- Construct a dynamical model of the long bar data (VVV+UKIDSS+2MASS)





Parametric model from Wegg et al. (2015)

M2M fit

Orbital structure

Conclusion



- We made dynamical models of the Peanut shaped Galactic bulge using the **3D density of Red Clump stars** combined with stellar kinematics, in different dark matter halos.
- We measure the total mass of the bulge to be 1.84 10<sup>10</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> with an accuracy <5% (systematics). Measured IMFs imply dark matter fraction in the bulge between 12% and 40% (Calamida IMF or Zoccali IMF)
- B/P bulges and the MW's bulge can be made by brezel orbits instead of the usually claimed banana orbits.