# Three fundamental aspects

- 1/ Formation/Architecture of planetary systems
- 2/ Physics of exoplanets
- 3/ Search for bio-signatures

#### . We do not know how giant planets form?

- Core accretion versus stellar-like mechanisms (GI)
- Gas accretion onto the proto-Giant planets (Hot vs Cold-Star models)?

### . Dynamical evolution & stability?

•

- Planet disk interactions (inward/outward migration)
- Planet planet interactions (Fundamental for the Solar system formation)

### . Even less knowledge on how rocky planets form?

- Proto-planets collision afterglows
- 1. Limited view of the physical processes into the planet-forming zones
- 2. Incomplete view of the population of giant & rocky planets at all separations, for different stellar hosts, at different evolutionary stage...

### 1. Access the planet-forming zones (L. Testi)

Time

- Grain growth, Gas/Dust co-evolution and chemistry, Disk evolution... •
- Other facilities: ALMA, SKA, VLTI, JWST synergy: ٠

0.4 days

- EELT instruments: HARMONI, HIRES, METIS, PCS...



- Observation – Theory: setting initial conditions

E-ELT : E-MIDIR VLT/CRIRES Spectro-astrometry 10 mas x 0.01 x 100pc E-IFU, E-HIRES ALMA = 0.01 AU

1 vr

30 vr

1000 vr

2 weeks

#### 1. Access the planet-forming zones (M. Meyer)

- Proto-planets detection/characterization
- Planet disk interactions, accretion processes
- **synergy**: EELT instruments: METIS
  - Observations Theory: direct tests for planetary formation theories



### 2. Incomplete view of the population of giant & rocky planets

### at all separations, for different stellar hosts, at different evolutionary stage.

> Statistics on occurrence and distributions of giant planets (A. Boccaletti; B. Biller)

synergy: - Current/future facilities, RV, GAIA, Kepler, TESS... and EELT

- Observations - Theories: occurrence & distribution



#### . Limited knowledge on the planetary structures & atmospheres

#### of giant & rocky planets

Wide range of physical conditions: strongly irradiated to non-irradiated, high to low densities, proto- to evolved planets, evaporating planets... (M. Janson; I. Crossfield)

Alpha Cen Bb 1.15 Mearth, 1500K, 0.04AU

•



GJ504 b MJup, 510K, 43AU



#### . Limited knowledge on the planetary structures & atmospheres

#### of giant & rocky planets

- Wide range of physical conditions: strongly irradiated to non-irradiated, high to low densities, proto- to evolved planets, evaporating planets...
- Limited number of observables/planet: Mass, radius, luminosities,
  orbital characterization (a, e, i...), misalignment, atmosphere properties...
- Impact of clouds: How common? Range of albedos? Composition? (B. Demory)
- . Important degeneracy in the interior & atmosphere models

•

Composition , chemistry, temporal evolution (Doppler imaging; I. Crossfield)

Synergy: - with other facilities: RV, Transit, HDS, HCI, GAIA...

- EELT instruments: techniques, wavelengths, resolution,

(HARMONI, MICADO, METIS, HIRES....MOS, PCS)

- Observations & Theories: Structure, atmospheres...

#### C. Lovis

Property of Interest	Available Technique
High-precision stellar properties: mass, radius,Teff, age, [Fe/H], abundances of various elements	High-resolution spectroscopy, long-baseline interferometry, high-precision astrometry (GAIA)
Binarity or multiplicity of the host star	Direct imaging, long-term Doppler velocimetry, astrometry (GAIA)
Existence and properties of debris disks	High-contrast imaging, interferometry
Orbital properties of all dynamically important planets in the system	High-precision transit photometry, long-term Doppler velocimetry, astrometry (GAIA), high- contrast imaging
Mass and radius (→ density, bulk composition) of the dynamically important planets	High-precision transit photometry, Doppler velocimetry, astrometry (GAIA)
Atmospheric characterization of one or more planet(s)	Transit/eclipse spectrophotometry, high-resolution spectroscopy, high-contrast spectroscopy

. Limited knowledge on the planetary structures & atmospheres

of giant & rocky planets

. Synergy example: Dynamical mass of Young Jupiters (RV/GAIA and HCI)



## 3/ Biomarkers detection

### . A revolutionary science case for the EELT

- EELT will arrive after a decade of HZ planets surveys,
- JWST will prepare EELT science with low-res spectra of HZ planets,
- . Synergy: Facilities (JWST, RV, transit...): Target identification
  - Techniques: HCI + HDS, the way to go?
  - Theory/Simulations: Astronomer / Biologists?



# Questions

#### 1. Formation/Architecture

Q: What role with VLTI and other interferometers play in the next decade?Q: Interplay with VLT instruments: *ESPRESSO*, ERIS (pre-cursor for METIS?)...?Q: Interplay with the GAIA community?

Q: What tests could allow to distinguish btw planet formation by CA & GI?Q: In-depth studies of a limited population of stars vs general surveys according to the different techniques (HCI, HDS, RV...)?

Q: How to optimally combine detection limits from different techniques?

Q: EELT Roadmap for Formation/Architecture: MICADO, HARMONI, METIS, PCS?

### Questions

#### 2. Interior/Atmosphere of exoplanets?

Q: Are HCI and HDS the main atmosphere characterization techniques for E-ELT. Is there a gain in observing a reference star with HDS for ultimate performances?

Q: Is EELT-MOS low-res transmission spectroscopy of low-mass planets around M dwarfs (~10mag) Interesting or not? respect to JWST?Q: If yes, Fiber-fed IFUs or slitless concept for EELT/MOS?

Q: Interplay with interior/atmosphere theoreticians (HRS lines, molecular database, ....)

Q: Mission reference sample for rocky planets characterization: nearby M dwarfs?

Q: EELT Roadmap for atmosphere characterization: HARMONI, HIRES, METIS, PCS?

# Questions

#### 3. Biomarkers detection

Q: HCI+HDS ultimate performances? Speckles interplay subtraction and HDS?

Q: Which is the instrument for biomarkers discovery; METIS, PCS or EELT-6?

>> Instrumental roadmap for biomarkers!

- Q: Best biomarkers probed by the EELT?
- Q: Broader community? Synergy btw Astronomers and exo-biologists?
- Q: EELT Roadmap for Biomarkers: HIRES, METIS, PCS

#### 4. Others:

Q: What about an IFU in N-band for METIS? Q-band? For exoplanetary science? Q: Shall we already identify SWGs: i/ Exoplanets with 1st Light instruments, ii/ contrast metric and assumptions for HCI, iii/ fiber-fed IFU versus slitless spectroscopy and science driven (formation/architecture, exoplanet physics and biomarkers)