#### Searching for Multiple Generations of Star Formation in Young Massive Clusters

Florian Niederhofer<sup>1,2</sup> Michael Hilker<sup>1</sup> Nate Bastian<sup>3</sup> Esteban Silva-Villa<sup>4,5</sup>

1- European Southern Observatory
2- Universitäts-Sternwarte München
3- John Moores University Liverpool
4- CRAQ
5- Universidad de Antioquia

NGC 2100 Credit: ESO



# Searching for Age Spreads

 Color-magnitude diagrams of intermediate age (1-2 Gyr) massive clusters in the LMC show extended or double main sequence turn-offs (MSTO)



- If these features are related to an age spread of 200-500 Myr, young clusters (<1 Gyr) with similar properties should have age spreads of the same order, as well
- We searched for age spreads in a sample of eight young (< 1.1 Gyr) massive (>  $10^4M_{\odot}$ ) LMC clusters
- The data set consists of archival HST WFPC2 data from Brocato et al. 2001, Fischer et al. 1998 and the Hubble Legacy Archive

RASPUTIN Workshop 13.-17. October 2014

#### = || 🖸 🛌 += || = || = || = || = || = || = || += 💥 🕒

# Our Cluster Sample

- The selected clusters cover the age range from 20 Myr to  $\approx$  1 Gyr
- They follow the same mass-effective radius relation as the intermediate age clusters that show an extended MSTO



- Blue circles: Clusters analyzed in this study
- Blue dots surrounded by circles: Clusters already analyzed by Bastian & Silva-Villa 2013
- Red triangles: Intermediate age (1-2 Gyr) clusters that show extended or double MS turnoffs (Goudfrooij 2009,11a)

Black dots: Other LMC clusters



## CMDs of the Clusters





# Study of the Clusters

Steps of the Analysis:

- Processed data: Field stars subtracted and extinction corrected
- Comparison of the clusters' CMDs with model Hess diagrams
- Fitting of the star formation history of the clusters

Used Tools and Parameters:

- FITSFH code (Silva-Villa & Larsen 2010, Larsen et al. 2011)
- Parsec 1.1 isochrones from the Padova set (Bressan et al. 2012) at the respective metallicities of the clusters
- Metallicities and DM from fitting theoretical isochrones to the CMDs of the clusters
- Salpeter 1955 initial mass function, above  $1M_{\odot}$





Expectations

This is what we would expect for a young cluster with the kinds of age spreads proposed for the intermediate age clusters 225 320 200 280 Bimodal age 14 14 175 Bimodal age 240 spread with spread with 150 200 Myr] 100 B 130 125 [WAL 100 P 16 peaks at 20 peaks at 20 > and 220 Myr<sup>></sup> 18 and 320 Myr 120 75 80 20 20 50 40 25 0.5 B-V -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.5 2.0 1.0 1.0 -0.50.0 1.5 2.0 B-V 480 420 14 360 Gaussian like <sup>300</sup> [Myr] Age 16 SFH with a peak > at 250 Myr that 18 lasted 500 Myr 180 120 20 60 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 B-V



# Hess Diagram of NGC 2157



RASPUTIN Workshop 13.-17. October 2014



#### Hess Diagrams





Results



Niederhofer et

al. (submitted)



### Results

Cluster	Fitted SFH
NGC 1831	926 ± 148 Myr
NGC 1847	56.9 ± 4.0 Myr
NGC 1850	93.3 ± 23.6 Myr
NGC 2004	19.5 ± 8.0 Myr
NGC 2100	21.0 ± 1.9 Myr
NGC 2136	124.0 ± 27.7 Myr
NGC 2157	99.2 ± 18.1 Myr
NGC 2249	$1110 \pm 152$ Myr
NGC 1856	281 ± 33.6 Myr
NGC 1866	177 ± 18.4 Myr

- Fitted age spread increases with increasing cluster age
- All age spreads listed here are upper limits
- Clusters are consistent with a SSP within the errors
- Results are in conflict with proposed age spreads in intermediate age clusters
- Either intermediate age clusters do not have age spreads
- Or YMC and intermediate age clusters are different in nature



#### Results



Consistent with previous study by Fischer et al. 1993 Significantly higher than the commonly used value of about 30 Myr (e.g. Elson 1991, McLaughlin & van der Marel 2005, Baumgardt et al. 2013)

Results in a mass of  $1.4 x 10^5 \mbox{ M}_{\odot}$  and an escape velocity of 12.3 km/s





# A Closer Look at Intermediate Age Clusters



Bastian & Niederhofer (submitted)

Width of the sub-giant branches does not seem to cover the range in ages that would be expected from the extended MSTO feature



## The Sub-Giant Branch Morphology



Bastian & Niederhofer (submitted)

Comparison of the sub-giant branch morphologies with single stellar population models (red) and extended star formation history (SFH) models (blue)

Morphologies in conflict with extended SFH model

(see also Li et al. (2014, Nature), for NGC 1651)



#### Simulations



= !! 🐼 🛌 := 🐜 !! = !! = !! = 💷 💷 := !! 💥 🕒

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Supplementary Material

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

= || 🛛 🛏 := += || = = || = 0| = 12 := 12 💥 🕒

#### +E\$+ 0 +

#### Supplementary Material

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

RASPUTIN Workshop 13.-17. October 2014

= || 🖸 🛌 += += || = 1| = || = 💷 💷 += 👯 🕒