DIAGNOSTICS FROM UV SPECTRA

of LOW REDSHIFT QUASARS



Paola Marziani, INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova

Jack W. Sulentic (IAA-CSIC), Deborab Dultzin (IA-UNAM), Giovanna M. Stirpe (INAF, OA Bologna), Sebastian Zamfir (U. of Wisconsin), C. Alenka Negrete (INAOE), Ascensión del Olmo (IAA-CSIC), Mary Loli Martínez Aldama (IA-UNAM, Ph. D. student)



The composite rest-frame quasar spectrum from the Sloan DSS (Van den Berk et al. 2001)

Distinctive emission line spectrum with prominent broad lines due to resonant and intercombination transitions from a spatially unresolved "broad line region" (BLR)



Organizing quasar spectral diversity: Quasars' Eigenvector 1

Boroson & Green 1992; see also Gaskell et al. 1999

• Source of the observation of t

• \geq E1 is dominated by an anticorrelation between 1) strength of FeII λ 4570, 2) width of H β .

• E1 is very robust since:

a) found in several independent samples;

(Dultzin-Hacyan et al. 1997; Shang et al. 2003, Yip et al.2004, Sulentic et al. 2000, 2007, Kovacevic et al. 2010, Kruzcek et al 2011, Tang et al. 2012)

b) found for high dimension parameter spaces.

(Kuraszkiewicz et al. 2008; Mao et al. 2009; Grupe 2004, Wang et al. 2006; Bachev et al. 2004; Sulentic et al. 2007)

The 4DE1 of Sulentic et al. includes: 3) CIV λ 1549 line shift; 4) soft-X ray photon index. Optical plane of Eigenvector 1 1D sequence of spectral types to account for quasars' diverse properties at *z*<0.7





Sulentic et al. 2002



UV spectral changes along E1

Bachev et al. 2004

HST/FOS composite spectra of quasars at z < 0.7





The CIV λ 1549 line profile Modeled by a scaled H β profile + excess blueshifted emission: line broadening interpreted as due to virial motion + outflow

Marziani et al. 2010; cf Leighly 2000





The effect of including non virial components in black hole mass determination



Sulentic et al. 2007; Marziani & Sulentic 2012 and references therein

UV spectral systematic changes along E1 Bachev et al. 2004



Along the sequence $(A4 \rightarrow B1^{++})$ $NV\lambda 1240$ $AlIII\lambda 1860$ $CIII]\lambda 1909$

0.6 6 Lya + NV AHII+SHII]+CHI] A3 0.4 0.2 2 0 0 0.6 6 15 0.4 0.2 2 Intensity Units 0 0 6 0.6 ΑE 0.4 4 0.2 2 Arbitrary 0 0 6 0.6 81 0.4 0.2 2 0 0 0.6 6 B1. 0.4 0.2 2 0 0 1250 1200 1850 1900 1950 1150 λ_{itest} (Angstroms)

Quasar diagnostics

Ion	λ [Å]	$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ [eV] \end{bmatrix}$	$E_l - E_u$ [eV]	Transition	A_{ki} [s ⁻¹]	$[\text{cm}^{-3}]$	Note
Si IV	1393.755	45.20	0.000 - 8.895	${}^2P^o_{2/2} \rightarrow {}^2S_{1/2}$	$8.80 \cdot 10^{8}$	+ • •	1
Si IV	1402.770	45.20	0.000 - 8.839	${}^2P^{o'2}_{1/2} \rightarrow {}^2S_{1/2}$	$8.63\cdot 10^8$	+++	1
C IV	1548.202	47.89	0.000 - 8.008	${}^2P^o_{3,c_2} \rightarrow {}^2S_{1/2}$	$2.65 \cdot 10^{8}$		1
C IV	1550.774	47.89	0.000 - 7.995	${}^{2}P_{1/2}^{0} \rightarrow {}^{2}S_{1/2}$	$2.64 \cdot 10^{8}$	+	1
SI II	1808.00	8.15	0.000 - 6.857	${}^{2}D_{3/2}^{s} \rightarrow {}^{2}P_{1/2}$	$2.54 \cdot 10^{6}$	+ 0	1
Si II	1816.92	8.15	0.036 - 6.859	${}^{2}D^{a}_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{2}P_{3/2}$	$2.65 \cdot 10^{6}$		1
Al III	1854.716	18.83	0.000 - 6.685	${}^{2}P^{p}_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{2}S_{1/2}$	$5.40 \cdot 10^{8}$		1
Al III	1862.790	18.83	0.000 - 6.656	${}^2P^{\phi}_{1/2} \rightarrow {}^2S_{1/2}$	$5.33\cdot 10^8$	***	1
Si III]	1882.7	16.34	0.000 - 6.585	${}^{3}P_{2}^{p} \rightarrow {}^{1}S_{0}$	0.012		2,3
Si III]	1892.03	16.34	0.000 - 6.553	${}^{3}P_{1}^{5} \rightarrow {}^{1}S_{0}$	1670	$2.1 \cdot 10^{11}$.,5
C III]	1905.7	24.38	0.000 - 6.502	${}^{3}P_{2}^{p} \rightarrow {}^{1}S_{0}$	0.0052		1.2,6
C III]	1908.734	24.38	0.000 - 6.495	${}^{3}P_{1}^{0} \rightarrow {}^{1}S_{0}$	114	$1.4 \cdot 10^{10}$,4,1
Fe III	1914.066	16.18	3.727 - 10.200	$z^7 P_3^o \rightarrow a^7 S_3$	$6.6 - 10^{8}$		7

Note. — All wavelengths are in vacuum. (1) Ralchenko, Yu., Kramida, A.E., Reader, J., and NIST ASD Team (2008). NIST Atomic Spectra Database (version 3.1.5). Available at: http://physics.nist.gov/asd3. 2: Feibelman & Aller (1987). 3: n. computed following Shaw & Dufour (1995). 4: Morton (1991). 5: Feidman (1992). 6: Zheng (1988). 7: Wavelength and A_{hl} from Ekberg (1993), energy levels from Edlén and Swings (1942).

CIV (Al III, Si IV, NV)

C III] (Si III])







Diagnostic Intensity Ratios

(SIV+OIV])λ1400/Si III] λ1892 Si II 1814/Si III] λ1892 CIV λ1549/(Si IV+OIV])λ1400

Al III λ 1860/Si III] λ 1892 Si III] λ 1892/CIII] λ 1909

C IV λ1549/Al III λ1860 C IV λ1549/Si III] λ1892

NVλ1240/CIV λ1549 NVλ1240/HeIIλ1640 independent on metallicity sensitive to ionization

sensitive to metallicity

sensitive to density

sensitive to ionization dependent on metallicity

sensitive to metallicity

+ many others involving fainter lines like NIII]λ1750
(but caution with intercombination lines!)

Behavior of line ratios in the plane ionization parameter vs. density



Maps built on an array of 571 photoionization models

Cloudy 08.00 array of simulations (Ferland et al. 2013): constant density, U, solar abundances and standard quasar continuum

A diagnostic of ionizing photon flux analysis of sources with HST/FOS observations and Hβ reverberation mapping



"Photoionization" *r*_{BLR} and black hole mass

ionization parameter: ratio between photon and electron density

$$U = \frac{\int_{\nu_0}^{+\infty} \frac{L_{\nu}}{h\nu} d\nu}{4\pi r_{\rm BLR}^2 n_{\rm e} c}$$

emitting region radius
$$r_{\rm BLR} = \left(\frac{\int_{\nu_0}^{+\infty} \frac{L_{\nu}}{h\nu} d\nu}{4\pi U n_{\rm e} c}\right)$$



Padovani et al. 1990; Wandel et al. 1999, Negrete et al. 2012, c.f. Dibai 1977 and Bochkarev & Gaskell 2009



The combined Al III λ 1860/Si III] λ 1892 and C IV λ 1549/Si III] λ 1892 ratios are estimators of the ionizing photon flux: r_{BLR} is in agreement with $c\tau$ of H β from reverberation mapping: rms ≈ 0.2 dex. (Negrete et al. 2013)

A correction is needed because of ionization and density gradients within the BLR.

Profiles allow for reliable computations of the virial black hole mass:

 $M_{\rm BH} = \frac{fr_{\rm BLR}(\rm FWHM)^2}{G}$



Extreme A sources young/rejuvenated quasars, revealed at both high and low luminosity, radiating at high Eddington ratio, 10% of in optically selected samples

Weak CIII] λ 1909 and OIV] λ 1402 (Dultzin et al. 2011; Negrete et al. 2012)







Physical conditions: high density, low ionization and high metallicity

Extreme A sources





Extreme B sources

At the other end of E1: almost no Al III λ1860, prominent CIII] λ1909: lower density and high ionization

Physical conditions are more complex for most AGNs along the sequence due to the well known "stratification" of ionization.









Sulentic et al. in preparation

Still many open issues on chemical enrichment of low-*z* quasars, in part due to lack of suitable data.



A reliable analysis requires high dispersion and high S/N





MAST inspection 1) Palomar Green quasars





Data from Shin et al. 2013

Only 50% of PG quasars covered by HST observations

2) Of 600 type-1 AGNs detected at 6cm with *m*<19 and *z*<0.90 only a minority have HST spectroscopic observations



3) only a handful of AGNs monitored for reverberation mapping in the UV

Conclusions

Rest frame UV emission lines make it possible to derive quasar physical parameters that lead to emitting region radius, black hole mass, Eddington ratio, etc.

The Eigenvector 1 sequence allows to identify sources that are physically and structurally different.

Archives from past /present space missions are extremely valuable but much is still needed in terms of population coverage and data quality.