# The impact of bars on disk breaks as probed by S<sup>4</sup>G

Juan Carlos Muñoz Mateos (ESO) Kartik Sheth, Armando Gil de Paz, Sharon Meidt + the S<sup>4</sup>G team



Deconstructing galaxies Nov 18-22 2013 Santiago de Chile

#### Galactic disks are exponential...



### ... or are they?

Type I (10%)
 Single exponential

Type II (60%)
 Downbending exponential

Type III (30%)
 Upbending exponential

See Pohlen & Trujillo (2006); Erwin et al. (2008)



### ... or are they?

Type I (10%) Single exponential

Type II (60%)

\*



22

24

26

20

10

100

50

-50

-100

-100

-50

O

arcsec

✤ Type III (30%) Upbending exponential

> See Pohlen & Trujillo (2006); Erwin et al. (2008)

Downbending exponential



100

80

r (arcsec)

## Explanations for disk breaks come in two flavors

Redistribution of angular momentum by bars and/or spirals.



Debattista et al. (2006)

 Star formation related mechanisms.

### Lots of old stars beyond the break!



Roskar et al. (2008) Idealized disk Sánchez-Blázquez et al. (2009) Full cosomological simulation

### The S<sup>4</sup>G survey

- Spitzer Survey of Stellar Structure in Galaxies (Sheth et al. 2010).
  - Legacy Science Exploration Program.
  - 637.2 hrs
  - 4 min/pixel
  - $\mu_{3.6\mu m} \sim 27 \text{ ABmags/arcsec}^2 (\sim 1 \text{ M}_{\odot}/\text{pc}^2)$

- Over 2300 nearby galaxies observed at 3.6 and 4.5μm.
  - D < 40 Mpc
  - lbl > 30°
  - m<sub>Bcorr</sub> < 15.5
  - D<sub>25</sub> > 1'



### The S<sup>4</sup>G survey

- Spitzer Survey of Stellar Structure in Galaxies (Sheth et al. 2010).
  - Legacy Science Exploration Program
  - 637.2 hrs
  - 4 min/pixel
  - $\mu_{3.6\mu m} \sim 27 \text{ ABmags/arcsec}^2 (\sim 1 \text{ M}_{\odot}/\text{pc}^2)$

- Over 2300 nearby galaxies observed at 3.6 and 4.5μm.
  - D < 40 Mpc
  - lbl > 30°
  - m<sub>Bcorr</sub> < 15.5
  - D<sub>25</sub> > 1'



### Our working sample

- Parent sample of +800 disk galaxies.
- Selection criteria:
  - Face-on (i<60°)
  - Disks (S0 to Sd)
  - $M_* > 2 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$
  - No bright stars nor artifacts
- Final sample of 218 disks.



### Measuring breaks and bars



### Measuring breaks and bars



### The break/bar ratio depends on mass



### Many breaks lie at the bar OLR

For a flat rotation curve:

- V = constant
- $\Omega \propto 1/r$
- R<sub>OLR</sub> ~ 1.7 R<sub>CR</sub>
- In general:
  - R<sub>CR</sub> ~ 1.2 R<sub>bar</sub>
    (e.g. Elmegreen et al. 1996)
- Therefore:
  - $R_{OLR} \sim 2 R_{bar}$



#### Rotation curves are not always flat



### Rising rotation curves push the OLR further out



### Rising rotation curves push the OLRfurther out

Breaks at large radii in low-mass disks could still have a dynamical origin!



### A second family of breaks at 3-4 R<sub>bar</sub>



### Bars and spiral arms can couple



The bar and spiral pattern speeds can be different.

 If resonances overlap, angular momentum is carried much further out!

### Bars and spiral arms can couple



Debattista et al. (2006)

### Bar/spiral coupling can yield breaks at large radius

- More efficient than a single pattern.
- Radial mixing in only ~ 3 Gyrs! (Minchev et al. 2010).













### Conclusions

#### Breaks are signposts of disk assembly.

- In-situ star formation?
- Radial stellar migration?

Migration can create breaks at large radii.

- Rising rotation curves (in low mass disks).
- Spiral/bar coupling.
- Molecular profiles are broken too.
  - Sharper break than in the stellar profile.