# CANARY on-sky

#### Alastair Basden Durham University

RTC Workshop December 2012 Garching

# CANARY 101

- MOAO technology demonstrator for EAGLE
  - The proposed E-ELT MOAO instrument
  - MOAO: Multi-Object AO
- On 4.2m William Herschel Telescope
  - Visitor instrument
  - First light 2010
  - Second light 2012
- 4 NGS (3 open-loop, 1 closed-loop truth sensor
- 1 LGS
  - 3 more May 2013
- On-axis correction
  - 1 MOAO channel
  - Open-loop correction

# CANARY 101

- MOAO technology demonstrator for EAGLE
  - The proposed E-ELT MOAO instrument
  - MOAO: Multi-Object AO
- On 4.2m William Herschel Telescope
  - Visitor instrument
  - First light 2010
  - Second light 2012
- 4 NGS (3 open-loop, 1 closed-loop truth sensol
- 1 LGS
  - 3 more May 2013
- On-axis correction
  - 1 MOAO channel
  - Open-loop correction

# The CANARY bench



# **CANARY Real-time control**

#### Uses DARC

- The Durham AO Real-time Controller
- Downloadable from Sourceforge
- Completely generic
  - CANARY is one usage example
- Principally a CPU based controller
  - Optional FPGA front-end
  - Optional GPU reconstruction
  - Modular design ideal for CANARY
    - Lots of algorithms to test and try

# **CANARY** experiences

- A complex system
- Multiple wavefront sensors (of different types)
  - LGS and NGS
- Multiple offload loops
  - TT, LGS steering and alignment, LGS asterism rotation and tracking, telescope offloads (autoguider etc)
- Multiple trigger signals
  - Lasers at 10kHz, synchronised with LGS camera at 100-500Hz and range gate at 10kHz
  - NGS triggers

# Synchronisation

- Using a custom programmable FPGA based trigger box
- Multiple BNC and fibre outputs
  - And inputs (unused)
- Provides the required flexibility



# Algorithms

- Lots of algorithms tried and used
- Lots of practical experience garnered
  - Lets have a look at some of the more interesting things...

# Image calibration

- If background subtraction is wrong:
  - Poor slope estimate → poor reconstruction
  - Critical performance loss for open-loop AO
- So, we use a "brightest pixel" selection algorithm to help
  - In each sub-aperture, the N (typically ~12-40)
     brightest pixels are selected
  - All others are set to zero
    - i.e. fall below the background

- But this can introduce bias
  - So, improvements made:
    - The N+1<sup>th</sup> brightest pixel value can be used as the threshold
    - This is subtracted from all brighter pixels
    - Reduces bias
  - Further improvements:
    - N+1 → N+M pixels can be averaged to be used as the threshold
    - Reduces the randomness (due to read noise) in the threshold
- Used with great effect on CANARY
  - Slope variance and WFE reduced

- But this can intro
  - So, improven
    - The N+1<sup>th</sup> threshold
    - This is sut
    - Reduces t
  - Further impro



#### be used as the

- N+1 → N+ IN places can be averaged to be used as the threshold
- Reduces the randomness (due to read noise) in the threshold
- Used with great effect on CANARY
  - Slope variance and WFE reduced



- Reduces the randomness (due to read noise) in the threshold
- Used with great effect on CANARY
  - Slope variance and WFE reduced

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Used with great effect on CANARY
  - Slope variance and WFE reduced

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Slope variance and WFE reduced

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

# And LGS example

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Adaptive windowing

- CANARY off-axis WFS are open-loop
  - Large spot motions  $\rightarrow$  large sub-apertures
- Spot tracking
  - Per sub-aperture or as groups (for TT)
  - Sub-apertures are shrunk
  - Adaptive windowing switched on
    - Sub-aperture location defined by open-loop integrator based on current spot location
      - User defined gain
  - Typically we reduce sub-aperture area by x4

# Adaptive problems

- Noise or short bursts of bad turbulence can mean spots get lost
- Sub-apertures then wander
  - Until they fix on a spot (which may be from a neighbouring subaperture)
- So: Limit the range of motion
- But: Can still get stuck at the edge of allowed motion (e.g. vignetted sub-apertures or when TT offload is wrong)
  - This is noticeable for CANARY
  - But with 80x80 sub-apertures and >6 WFS not
  - So, automatic unsticking logic added
    - If sub-aperture is at the edge of allowed motion for some user defined time, resets to the centre
    - Worked well even under very poor seeing conditions

#### Sub-aperture resizing

- User controlled (or scripted)
- Nothing clever in the real-time core
  - Though something could easily be added...!

# Adaptive windowing

# **Correlation WFSing**

- CANARY uses Rayleigh lasers
- Spot elongation can be controlled by adjusting the laser range gate
  - Allowing studies of different spot elongations
  - Well suited for testing correlation based wavefront sensing
    - Linear, ideal for open-loop WFS

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Solar correlation primer

- Reference image taken from a single subaperture from a single frame
  - Used for all sub-apertures
  - Typically updated every 30s
  - Insensitive to Tip/Tilt between successive reference images
    - Jump in science image position
    - But this doesn't matter since science integration time is ~few seconds

#### Solar correlation primer

- Reference image taken from a single subaperture from a single frame
  - Used for all sub-apertures
  - Typically updated every 30s

#### Array of subaperture images

	158 BB		影影	
國國家	影響	888	<b>SS</b>	150
		過調調	國國	
399	15555	調調	時時	
	55 SS	國醫醫		34
	33333		58 1S	5
99 Q			醫醫	
			No.	

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

			1.000	1.000	1.000	1.00	1.000	1.00	1.00	
								職		iii.
	8						1	鞼		
				i.				5		
							赣			ŝ.
lf							1		54	1
		i),								
								琞		

Crosscorrelations

# Astronomical correlation

- Integration times much longer than correlation reference update time
- Elongated LGS spots differ between sub-apertures (radial pattern)
  - Cannot use the solar approach
- SHS images much fainter
- So:
  - Correlation reference obtained by averaging O(10) WFS frames  $\rightarrow$  depending on SNR
    - A different reference image for each sub-aperture
  - Update of reference slopes also required
    - Correlate new reference image with current reference image (for each sub-aperture)
    - Compute CoG of this correlation (for each sub-aperture)
    - Add these values to the current reference slopes
  - Successful update of reference image and slopes while loop closed

# Astronomical correlation

- Integration times much longer than correlation reference update time
- Elongated LGS spots differ between sub-apertures (radial pattern)
  - Cannot use the solar approach
- SHS images much fainter
- So:

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

- A different reference image for each sub-aperture
- Update of reference slopes also required
  - Correlate new reference image with current reference image (for each sub-aperture)
  - Compute CoG of this correlation (for each sub-aperture)
  - Add these values to the current reference slopes
- Successful update of reference image and slopes while loop closed

# Canary correlation details

- We use FFT-based correlation
  - Zero-padding necessary for extreme elongation
    - i.e. at the edge of pupil
    - Otherwise correlated image is biased
  - But this introduces extra computation
    - And is unnecessary when spot size is small.
  - So we allow zero padding extent to be defined on a per-subaperture basis
  - Fast enough for Solar AO systems (20x20 subaps, 2kHz)
- WFS mode defined on a per-sub-aperture basis
  - Allows different techniques for NGS and LGS

## Correlation: With no padding

# 4 pixel padding

# 8 pixel padding

## Wavefront control

- MVM is the standard reconstructor for CANARY
  - SCAO → conventional least squares using SVD
  - GLAO (open-loop) → simple averaging off-axis
     WFS
  - MOAO  $\rightarrow$  Learn and Apply algorithm
  - A GPU option is available and used on-sky
    - But not necessary for CANARY

# Additional wavefront control

- Dynamically loadable modules allows rapid change between algorithms
  - And development while on-sky
- LQG: optimal control
  - Used in SCAO and MOAO modes
    - With and without LGS
  - Significant performance improvement seen when compared with L&A
  - State vector of size 238 for SCAO, ~800 for MOAO

#### CuRe and DiCuRe

- Also tested for SCAO
- Results in a later talk

# Mobile DARC

#### First instance of AO on a phone?

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Mobile DARC

First instance of AO on a phone?

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Other Canary systems

- Dual quad-core AMD server (2008 vintage)
  - Main RTCS system
  - SFPDP for WFS input (4 NGS, 1/4 LGS)
  - DM output by socket to a DMC PC
    - Also running DARC for a figure sensor
    - Mirror control using a COTS 96 channel DAC card
- 2 PCs for non-real-time tasks (GUIs, data processing, statistics, storage etc)
- DARC also used for:
  - Science camera
  - LGS acquisition camera
  - LGS beam steering camera
  - Separate operation of LGS WFS
    - Allowing simultaneous NGS MOAO operation and LGS tweaking/optimisation
  - Consistent interface for all cameras

# Other Canary systems

- Dual quad-core AMD server (2008 vintage)
  - Main RTCS syst
  - SFPDP for WFS
  - DM output by so
    - Also running
    - Mirror contro
- 2 PCs for non-real-til
- DARC also used for:
  - Science camera
  - LGS acquisition
  - LGS beam steer
  - Separate operat
    - Allowing sim tweaking/optimisation
  - Consistent interface for all cameras

![](_page_35_Picture_15.jpeg)

# Offload loops

- Telescope autoguider
  - Offload based on:
    - //mean WFS slope from one/all NGS WFS
    - WFS Flux
    - Tip/tilt of wavefront
    - Tip/tilt mirror demands
  - Truth sensor slopes no good when loop closed
    - Automagically swaps to mirror demands
  - Sensibly handles situations where no data arrives
  - And when flux is too low
  - And when the telescope is no longer autoguiding!
- Laser steering mirror
  - Using LGS slope measurements
  - Taking not of flux
- Laser beam combination
  - Itself a close loop AO system on M2
- Laser asterism rotation
  - Using 4-LGS slope measurements

# Offload loops

- Telescope autoguider
  - Offload based on:
    - mean WFS slope from one/all
    - WFS Flux
    - Tip/tilt of wavefront
    - Tip/tilt mirror demands
  - Truth sensor slopes no good when l
    - Automagically swaps to mirror
  - Sensibly handles situations where n
  - And when flux is too low
  - And when the telescope is no longer autoguiding!
- Laser steering mirror
  - Using LGS slope measurements
  - Taking not of flux
- Laser beam combination
  - Itself a close loop AO system on M2
- Laser asterism rotation
  - Using 4-LGS slope measurements

CANARY Autogu	iider <mark>PYR(</mark>	error (	Open Turn on					
Controls								
Update:	Unpause	Offset	//\ Step					
Gain:	0.90 🇘	Set	₩ 20 🗘					
Interval (Secs):	3	Set	<· ·>					
Mirror O Actuator O Cent O Flux N# 1								
● wfs1 ○ wfs2 ○ wfs3 ○ truth ○ lgs //\ Step								
w/recon Select								
Set offset:         0,0         Set indexes:         52,53         <-								
Display								
Mirror Send auto on 50 50 Show history								
Last packet: None Show advance								

#### Offload Loops

- Telescope autoguider
  - Offload based on:
    - mean WFS slope from one/all
    - WFS Flux
    - Tip/tilt of wavefront
    - Tip/tilt mirror demands
  - Truth sensor slopes no good when
    - Automagically swaps to mirror
  - Sensibly handles situations where n
  - And when flux is too low
  - And when the telescope is no longer auto
- Laser steering mirror
  - Using LGS slope measurements
  - Taking not of flux
- Laser beam combination
  - Itself a close loop AO system on M2
- Laser asterism rotation
  - Using 4-LGS slope measurements

	CANARY		Launch displ	ay		Connect	
	Þ Sim	ple					
	⊽ Can	nera					
ANAR	Frame rate	Black	level None	Auto	Min flux:	20	
ontrols	Exposure [	Ga	in None	Boost	_		
Update:	N frames	Pi×el	clock None	Reopen ca	m		
Gain:	Grab mode	Measure B	G image: 1	00 00	Set BG in dar	c l	
Interval	⊽ Mot	ors				_	
<ul> <li>Mirr</li> <li>wfs1</li> </ul>	Reset Mid	range Ste	p motors 1	Ĵ R	eopen mirror	: Availat	ole: ?
	Midrange:						
	Step sizes:						
Set ons	All		2	3			
Mirror	<u></u> ₽	<u></u> p	<u> <u></u> <u>u</u>p</u>	P	p		
Last pac	1	1	÷1	÷1	÷[1	÷	
·	<u>v</u> <u>D</u> own	© <u>₽</u> owr	) <u>°</u> ow	n] <u>v D</u> ow	n] <u>° D</u> ow	vn	
auto	Motors upd	ate: 🗹 co	ntinuously 1	÷			
	<b>▽</b> Con	trol					
	Take ref slo	pes: 100	⊖ Set re	efin darc Ga	in:[1	Initial align	nment
	Poke 20	Create F	RMX 0.1	1 Set	: RMX in darc	Poke statu	s
	▽ Dat	a					
		Set	Get	Load	Save	Plot	
	Background	Apply	Apply	Apply	Apply	Apply	
	Refslopes	n Apply	ne of Apply	ne of Apply	ne of Apply	ne de terre	
	Control m×	n Apply	ne Apply	Apply	Apply	Apply 🖉	
	Poke m×			Apply	Apply	Apply	
	Filename ext	ension:			🗹 with a	late stamp	

#### Offload Loops

- Telescope autoguider
  - Offload based on:
    - mean WFS slope from one/all
    - WFS Flux
    - Tip/tilt of wavefront
    - Tip/tilt mirror demands
  - Truth sensor slopes no good when I
    - Automagically swaps to mirror
  - Sensibly handles situations where n
  - And when flux is too low
  - And when the telescope is no longer auto
- Laser steering mirror
  - Using LGS slope measurements
  - Taking not of flux
- Laser beam combination
  - Itself a close loop AO system on M2
- Laser asterism rotation
  - Using 4-LGS slope measurements

		La	unch displa	У	Conn	ect
	▷ Sim	ple				
	⊽ Can		Laser Rota e	ator		
ANAR	Frame rate	Manua	l Control 🤇	Automatic	Control	]
Controls	E×posure	Display Position in	steps:	0	1	,
Update:	N frames	Position in	degrees:	0		
Gain:	Grab mode	Port status	:	C	losed	
Interval	⊽ Mot	Manual Cont	rol			
Mirr	Reset Mid	Absolute st	teps: 0	) (	Set Get	Available: ?
(a) wfs ]	Midrange:	Relative st	eps: 1	)	Set	
w/r	Step sizes:	Preset posi	ition: Mic	d Range		
Set offs		G	rab darc da	ta		
Display	P	Automatic C	ontrol			
Lasteas	1	Opdate into	erval(Secs/	2.00	Set	
Lasc pac	S Down	Gain: Program Con	stral	0.10	Set	
		Port:	Open	/dev/ttyUSB	3 Close Get	1
auto	Motors upda	Display: C	lear error	Exit		,
	$\sim$ Con	DARC:	Connect	main	_	
	Take ref slop	Rotation				ial alignment
······	Poke 20	WFS 1	Angle 225	Me ]	ean slope	e status
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⊽ Data	2	315			
		3	135	í		ot
	Background	4	45	ĺ		Apply
	Refslopes	Pixel scal	e 0.3	sl	ope->rot	Apply
	Control mx	Asterism d	ia 60	) 2	2062.65	Apply
		Steps/degr	ee -12834/	1deg=35.74	193,5deg=178.74	7
	Poke m×			🍼 Apply	؇ Apply	Apply
	Filename exte	nsion:			🗹 with date	stamp

# Canary results

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Canary results

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Canary results

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Notes

- Lots of fancy algorithms used in CANARY
- All helped to improve performance
- Can such complexity scale to the E-ELT?
  - We know we can scale basic AO for most E-ELT instruments
    - Including MAORY, EAGLE
    - Calibration (DN,BG,FF), WCoG, MVM
    - Using a small number of PCs/GPUs
  - But haven't yet studied performance with these extra algorithms

# Ongoing work

- Implementation of robustness algorithms
  - Single button AO
- Other reconstructors for CANARY
  - Shop open for tests...!
- Implementation of full DARC pipeline in GPU
  - While maintaining the full feature set

#### Conclusions

 A number of complex algorithms all helped improve CANARY performance