Accretion properties of the Chamaeleon II lowmass star-forming region



K. Biazzo¹, J. M. Alcalá¹, E. Covino¹, A. Frasca²

¹INAF – Capodimonte Astronomical Observatory

²INAF – Catania Astrophysical Observatory





NTRODUCTION

The Chamaeleon II (Cha II) dark cloud, with a distance of 178±18 pc (Whittet et al. 1997) and an age of 4±2 Myr (Spezzi et al. 2008), is one of the three main clouds of the Chamaeleon Complex and covers ~2 deg² in the sky (see Luhman 2008 for a recent review). Cha II is one of the five clouds selected for the *Spitzer Space Telescope* Legacy Program "From Molecular Cores to Planet-forming Disks" (c2d; Evans et al. 2003) aimed to investigate the star formation activity in the cloud. Based on optical spectroscopy we are characterizing the Cha II star-forming region in terms of kinematics, elemental abundances, and mass accretion rates. Here, we present some preliminary results on accretion properties of the Cha II PMS stars derived through various diagnostics.

Observations

<u>The sample</u> consists of 41 pre-main sequence (PMS) stars reported by Alcalá et al. (2008) and Spezzi et al. (2008), and selected on the base of their IR excess emission, H α emission, X-ray emission, and lithium detection.

Spectroscopic observations were obtained in 2006-2007 with the ESO FLAMES spectrograph. The Cha II field was covered with 18 different observing pointings using the fiber links to GIRAFFE (R=8 600) and UVES (R=47 000) spectrographs. Both GIRAFFE and UVES spectra were used to derive spectral types, radial and rotational velocities, lithium abundance, and mass accretion. UVES spectra were also used to measure the abundance of some iron-peak and α - elements (Biazzo et al. 2011, in prep.).

ACCRETION DIAGNOSTICS

We derive the mass accretion rate from several emission lines (H α λ 6563, H β λ 4340, He I λ 5876, He I λ 6678, He I λ 7065). We consider the magnetospheric accretion model, where the mass accretion rate M_{acc} is related to the accretion luminosity L_{acc} through the following relation (Hartmann 1998): $M_{acc} = L_{acc} R_*/GM_*(1-R_*/R_{in})$. In the case of the Cha II stars, we adopt inner-disk radii R_{in} and stellar radii R_{*} reported by Alcalá et al. (2008). Stellar masses M_{*} are those measured by Spezzi et al. (2008). Then, L_{acc} is derived from the observed line luminosity through empirical linear relations, such as those given by Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2008). In particular, we first use the relations between the observed line luminosity L_{line} and the accretion luminosity L_{acc} (see, e.g., Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008). The line luminosity is given by $L_{line} = 4\pi d^2 F_{line}$, where d is the distance to Cha II and F_{line} is the absolute flux of the line, that we compute multiplying the line equivalent width (EW) by the continuum flux at the given line taken from NextGen Model Atmospheres (Hauschildt et al. 1999). Figure 1 shows the mass accretion rates of two lines (namely H α and He I λ 6678) versus stellar mass, where vertical bars refer to the different values obtained for observations at different epochs, e.g. they are related to the variability of the line intensity (see below).

Line emission fluxes. We use several different lines to determine M_{acc} using the relation with L_{acc} as described above. Figure 2 compares the H α and He I λ 6678 in terms of fluxes, luminosities, and mass accretion rates. The line fluxes in both lines are well correlated (*left panel*). When converted into line luminosities, the correlation is evident (*middle panel*), giving support to the goodness of the empirical relationships between L_{line} and L_{acc} . The last panel, which compares the mass accretion rates as derived through these two diagnostics, shows how they are well correlated, thus justifying the use of both of them for estimating the accretion properties.



Fig. 1 – Examples of mass accretion rate versus stellar mass for Cha II PMS stars: M_{acc} measured from the H α (*left panel*) and from He I λ 6678 lines (*right panel*). Stars are roughly divided into high H α emitters (EW_{H α}>10 Å; black) and low H α emitters (EW_{H $\alpha}<10 Å$; red). The dashed line represents the relation obtained by Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2008). The four stars whose line profiles are plotted in Fig. 3 are marked.</sub>



Short time scale variability. Young PMS stars are known to be variable, with most variations due to the combination of rotation and cool spots, or hot spots and accretion rate changes, or obscuration by circumstellar dust (see, e.g., Herbst et al. 1994, Schisano et al. 2009, Frasca et al. 2009, Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2010). In Fig. 3 we show the H α profile variations of four stars, which differ both in mass and mean mass accretion rate. On timescales of only two days, the EW_{H α} of these stars changes of 2-3 times and the variation of log M_{acc} reaches 0.2-0.6 dex, i.e. factors of 1.6-4.0. We conclude that this M_{acc} variability, even if large, cannot explain the M_{acc} spread at a given mass. This means that stellar parameters other than mass must also affect the accretion rate.

Fig. 2 – Examples of correlations between fluxes, accretion luminosity, and mass accretion rate derived using the H α and He I λ 6678 emission lines as diagnostics.



Fig. 3 – H α profile variations of four stars. The fluxes are normalized to the continuum. Each star observed 3-4 was times during two days different line (see colors and styles). The variations in line intensity, profile, and equivalent width are evident.



play an important role in the mass accretion rate of

PMS stars?

Spectral type?
Age?
Metallicity?
Other?

□ fine tuning of stellar physical parameters, by using more accurate distance determinations. The GAIA mission and the large X-Shooter surveys of starforming regions in the near future will provide us with data sets representing benchmarks for investigations of low-mass stars, stellar atmospheres, and evolutionary models of PMS evolution (Alcalá et al. 2011). Frasca A., Covino E., Spezzi L. et al. 2009, A&A, 508, 1313
Hartmann L. 1998: Accretion Processes in Star Formation, Cambridge Univ. Press
Hauschildt P. H., Allard F., Ferguson J. et al. 1999, ApJ, 525, 871
Herbst W., Herbst D. K., Grossman E. J., Weinstein D. 1994, AJ, 108, 1906
Herczeg G. J., Hillenbrand L. A. 2008, ApJ, 681, 594
Luhman K. L. 2008: in Handbook of Star Forming Regions, Vol. II, ASP Mon. Pub., Vol. 5, B. Reipurth ed., p. 169
Schisano E., Covino E., Alcalá J. M. et al. 2009, A&A, 501, 1013
Sicilia-Aguilar A., Henning T., Hartmann L. E. 2010, ApJ, 710, 597
Spezzi L., Alcalá J. M., Covino E. et al. 2008, ApJ, 680, 1295