Merger processes: when, what kind, and how frequent, and the impact on the rotation properties of the merger remnants

aka

Galactic Collisions

Th**dyister Ndat**ertitelformat bearbeiten Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics

ESO Garching, June 29th

The Antennae galaxies: A key to galactic evolution



- Mergers are drivers for galaxy evolution at high and low redshift
- Antennae galaxies are the <u>best-studied</u> local major merger system
- …and are an ideal laboratory to study galaxy properties ISM evolution, and star & cluster formation in galactic mergers

Best match to the central region of the Antennae





Toomre 1972, Barnes 1988, Mihos et al. 1994, Teyssier et al. 2010

The binary merger-tree



The bulk of the stars in present day elliptical galaxies cannot originate from major mergers of present day disk galaxies or major mergers of their progenitors (e.g. Naab & Ostriker 2009, and references therein)



Size, mass (distribution) and velocity dispersion.....

Constraints: 'Observations' of early-type galaxies

- Ellipticals are the oldest and most massive galaxies in the Universe
- All ellipticals/bulges have old metal-rich (Z=0.03) homogenous stellar populations with zform> 2 making up $\frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{4}$? of all stars at z=0 (Ellis et al., Bell et al., Thomas et al., Gadotti 2009)
- SIZE MATTERS! Insight into cosmic history of galaxy assembly, opening a window to the Universe when only a few Gyrs old
- Hierarchical assembly of elliptical galaxies in CDM cosmologies assembly time is not formation time (e.g. Kauffmann 1996, de Lucia et al. 2006)
- How to understand the assembly history of massive elliptical galaxies?
- Direct observations of massive \geq 1011 M[], compact, evolved galaxies up to high redshifts $z \geq 2$ (e.g. Daddi et al. 2005, Kriek et al. 2006, Cimatti et al. 2007, Franx et al. 2008 and many more)

Size and dispersion evolution since z≈2



- Size evolution for massive early-type galaxies proportional to $(1+z)\alpha$, $\alpha=-1.22$ (Franx et al. 2008), -1.48 (Buitrago et al. 2008), -1.17 (Williams et al. 2010)
- Mild evolution of $\approx 1011M$ ellipticals from 240km/s at $z \approx 1.6$ (240km/s) to 180 km/s at z=0 (Cenarro & Trujillo 2009) from stacked spectra of 11 GMASS ellipticals (Cimatti et al. 2008)
- High velocity dispersion of a z=2.168galaxy - 512 km/s indicates high dynamical mass consistent with mass $(2 \times 1011 M_{\odot})$ and compactness (0.78 kpc) of photometric data (van Dokkum et al. 2009, van de Sande et al. 2011)
- Add large galaxies to the population: faded spirals?
- Grow the population by major/minor mergers, expansion and other effects (e.g. Fan et al.)? Minor mergers are favored (Bezanzon et al. 2009, Hopkins et al. 09/10, Naab et al. 2009, Oser et al. 2010/2011)



van Dokkum et al. 2010

process

Minor mergers and the virial theorem

Mf = $(1+\eta)^*$ Mi and assume $\eta=1$, e.g. mass increase by factor two, and varying dispersions...

$$\frac{\langle v_f^2 \rangle}{\langle v_i^2 \rangle} = \frac{(1+\eta\epsilon)}{1+\eta}$$
$$\frac{r_{g,f}}{r_{g,i}} = \frac{(1+\eta)^2}{(1+\eta\epsilon)}$$
$$\frac{\rho_f}{\rho_i} = \frac{(1+\eta\epsilon)^3}{(1+\eta)^5}$$

$$\eta = M_a / M_i$$

$$\epsilon = \langle v_a^2 \rangle / \langle v_i^2 \rangle$$

Dispersion can decrease by factor 2

Radius can increase by factor 4

Density can decrease by factor 32

 $r\sim M\alpha$, $\alpha=1$ for major mergers , $\alpha=2$ for minor mergers more complex: gas, dark matter, dynamics, cosmology

e.g. Cole et al. 2000; Naab, Johansson & Ostriker 2009; Bezanson et al. 2009

Inside-out growth since z = 2



 Isolated 1:1 (mm) and 10:1 (acc) mergers of spheroidal galaxies without (1C) and with (2C) dark matter
 Only minor mergers with dark matter result in inside-out growth

Hilz et al. 2011, in prep.

Inside-out growth since z = 2



Isolated 1:1 (mm), 5:1, and 10:1 (acc) mergers of spheroidal galaxies without (1C) and with (2C) dark matter
 Only minor mergers with dark matter result in inside-out growth

The tool: re-simulations



1003 Mpc, 5123 particles dark matter only & with gas and simple star formation & feedback, 100 snapshots (WMAP3: $\Omega m = 0.26$, $\Omega \Box = 0.74$, h = 0.72)

Re-simulation of a large number of individual halos from 1010-1013 (Mgas: 106, 105, 104) without gas, with star formation & evtl. feedback (Springel & Hernquist 2003)

Efficient ICs avoiding massive intruders: e.g. follow the virial region of target halos and resolve all interactions (Oser, Naab, Johansson et al. 2010). 30% - 45% of high-res particles

end up in the final virial radius

Extracted merger histories of full box and individual halos (Hirschman et al. 2011, Oser et al. 2011) also for detailed comparison with semi-analytical predictions

≈45 halos simulated so far and used for analysis presented here

The binary merger-tree



The complex assembly histories



 Typical contribution of mergers (> 1:4) in massive galaxies since z=2 is 30% -40%

 Extract dark matter and galaxy merger histories for zoomsimulations

The origin of stars in galaxies



•Stellar origin diagrams indicate when and at which radius a star ending up in a present day galaxy was born

 In massive galaxies most stars are made at high redshift in-situ in the galaxy and even more ex-situ outside the galaxies virial radius with a low fraction of in-situ formation at low redshift

 Lower mass galaxies make a larger fraction of stars at low redshift

Oser et al. 2010

The origin of stars in galaxies



•Stellar origin diagrams indicate when and at which radius a star ending up in a present day galaxy was born

 In massive galaxies most stars are made at high redshift in-situ in the galaxy and even more ex-situ outside the galaxies virial radius with a low fraction of in-situ formation at low redshift

 Lower mass galaxies make a larger fraction of stars at low redshift

Oser et al. 2010



•Simulated galaxies stacked in mass bins

 $^{\circ}$ Early assembly is dominated by in-situ formation, more so in massive galaxies (6 > z > 3)

 Low mass galaxies assemble half their mass by in-situ formation

•The late assembly of massive galaxies is dominated by accretion (up to 80%) of stellar system (3 > z > 0)

The two phases of galaxy formation

Oser et al. 2010, see also Feldmann et al. 2010/2011

Size evolution in a high resolution simulation



- In-situ stars form a compact high density stellar system
- Accreted stars make extended outer system (see e.g. Hopkins et al. 2009)
- z≈3: M=5.5*1010M□ ρeff = 1.6*1010M□/kpc3 σeff= 240 km/s
- z≈0: M=15*1010M □ ρeff = 1.3*109M □/kpc3 σeff= 190 km/s
- Consistent with accreted mass being responsible for size increase

... and some consequences



•More massive galaxies had more accretion

oln-situ stars are the core and accreted stars build the outer envelope

•Mass-size relation is driven by accretion

The rapid size evolution of spheroids



Good agreement with observed strong size evolution for massive earlytype galaxies proportional to $(1+z)\alpha$, $\alpha = -1.22$ (Franx et al. 2008), -1.48 (Buitrago et al. 2008), -1.17 (Williams et al. 2010)

Size evolution and merger history



 The simulated size evolution in a cosmological context agrees with simple virial estimates
 Mass-weighted mass ratio is 5:1

Oser et al. 2011, astro-ph

The dispersion evolution of spheroids



Galaxies at higher redshift have higher velocity dispersions but move onto the local correlations – detailed merger analysis is ongoing



Stellar merger history and angular momentum



This is in collaboration with the ATLAS3D team!

Stellar merger history and angular momentum





1.5

2.0

Gallery of cosmological-simulations



Gallery of cosmological-simulations



Profiles



^aProfiles are in qualitative and quantitative agreement with observations

Correlations with λR



^aMore massive systems are slower rotators

Conclusions

- The formation/assembly of elliptical galaxies is a two phase process
- The cores (\approx kpc) of early-type galaxies form at 2 < z < 6 by dissipation/cold gas flows and by merging of smaller structures of stars/gas at the same time as the halo is building up (e.g. Hopkins et al. 09/10, van Dokkum et al. 2010)
- Ellipticals grow at 0 < z < 3 by accretion/mergers of old stars (\approx 10 kpc) all mass ratios, minor mergers dominate, major mergers have a more dramatic effect
- The combination of early dissipation and late accretion can explain the observed strong size evolution and the emergence of the present day scaling relations
- More accretion (minor mergers) for massive systems > stronger inside-out growth and higher Sersic-index
- Metallicity gradients in outer parts of galaxies
- Globular cluster dichotomy (red, in-situ, in the inner, blue, accreted, in the outer parts?)
- Merger histories of slow rotators are dominated by minor mergers
- Feedback processes will influence the two phases tension with HOD models

The stellar mass budget



Agreement with 'observations' for low mass galaxies is worse than for high mass galaxies (Wechsler et al., Guo et al. 2010, Moster et al. 2010, Trujillo-Gomez et al. 2010)
 IMF? AGN feedback? Stellar mass loss? Star formation driven winds? etc...

°Construct two-dimensional velocity fields from simulations and perform analysis similar to observers, i.e. Voronoi-binning, LOSVD, Gauss-Hermite, λR (Cappellari et al., van de Ven et al. 2006)

•Follow the formation history of the galaxies, i.e. stellar/halo merger trees, angular momentum build-up, gas accretion etc.

•Analyze stellar populations, metallicities, ages

 Cosmological boundaries , more complicated than binary mergers, limited resolution, no full statistics

•Similar environments but so far only central galaxies investigated

Correlations with λR : 'observations'



^aMore flattened systems are fast rotators, slow rotators are round (enough?)













132

88.

ά.

-44.

-88.

-132.

0.30

0.20

0.10

0.00 ±

-0.10

-0.20 -0.30



































Minor mergers and the virial theorem

Initial stellar system formed by e.g. dissipative collapse then add stellar material under energy conservation ('dry merging')...

$$E_{i} = K_{i} + W_{i} = -K_{i} = \frac{1}{2}W_{i} \qquad \eta = M_{a}/M_{i}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}M_{i}\langle v_{i}^{2}\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{GM_{i}^{2}}{r_{g,i}}. \qquad \epsilon = \langle v_{a}^{2}\rangle/\langle v_{i}^{2}\rangle$$

$$\begin{split} E_f &= E_i + E_a = -\frac{1}{2} M_i \langle v_i^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} M_a \langle v_a^2 \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} M_i \langle v_i^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \eta M_i \epsilon \langle v_i^2 \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} M_i \langle v_i^2 \rangle (1 + \epsilon \eta) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} M_f \langle v_f^2 \rangle. \end{split}$$

e.g. Cole et al. 2000; Naab, Johansson & Ostriker 2009; Bezanson et sl. 2009

Correlations with λR : theory



^aGalaxies with large fraction of in-situ stars are fast rotators

Correlations with λR : theory



^aGalaxies with most minor mergers are slow rotators, major mergers do not matter!

Conclusions

- Cosmological simulations predict kinematic features similar to observations, with proper cosmological boundary conditions
- Rotation properties are determined by the formation and accretion history of the stars – fast rotators are dominated by in-situ formation
- Slow rotators can, maybe, form from equal mass mergers but all galaxies growing by minor mergers are slow rotators, indicating the importance of minor mergers
- Minor mergers are on average from random directions and on average reduce the rotation in the galaxies, KDCs are rare
- Investigate gas properties and stellar populations
- Limited sample at the 'SAURON' stage, better statistics needed but highresolution simulations are expensive – we expect more fast rotators for a larger sample
- Currently used sub-grid model favours the early formation of stars, e.g. might over-predict the formation of slow rotators



Stellar merger history and angular momentum



ATLAS3D - sample



Slow rotators (36/260), mostly round

ATLAS3D I: Cappellari, Emsellem, Krajnovic, Mc Dermid et al. 2011

ATLAS3D - sample

NGC3230	* NGC4684	NGC4255	UGC09519	NGC6547	NGC3245	NGC5342	NGC4546	
			· · ·					
, SO .	SO SO	so.	so	so	SO	SO	* S0	
NGC4281	NGC4111	NGC4350	NGC5308	NGC2974	NGC3156 -	NGC3530	* NGC4638	
		1						
SO	so	so 🔸	so	E	so	S0/o	* so	
NGC3630	NGC2764	NGC4452	NGC0936	NGC0516	NGC1266	PGC042549	NGC3400	
						-		
SO	SO	so	so	. SO	SO	E	So	
NGC4710	NGC3626	"NGC3098	NGC1665	NGC2481	NGC3838	NGC2685	NGC6010	
•								
SO.	so ·	SO	S0	S0/q	S0/a	SO	S0/a	
NGC5854	NGC4521	NGC4036	IC0560	NGC3648	NGC5611	NGC2577	NGC1121	
• SD	• S0/0	SO SO	SD -	so	SO	SO ·	so ·	
NGC5379	IC1024	NGC4119	NGC4762	IC0598	NGC5493	PCC016060	NGC5475	
-						1.		
so	SO	SO	SO	S0/a	so	so	So	

•Fast rotators (224/260), some pretty flat

ATLAS3D I: Cappellari, Emsellem, Krajnovic, Mc Dermid et al. 2011

ATLAS3D - kinematical analysis



Detailed analysis of the two-dimensional velocity fields
 Classification into two main groups and subgroups
 Non-regular velocity fields (NRV) and regular velocity fields (RV) with special features like counter-rotating cores (CRC), kinematically decoupled cores (KDC), kinematic twists (KT), double peak in velocity dispersion (2σ)

The fundamental mass plane from strong lensing



•53 field early-type strong gravitational lens galaxies from the Sloan Lens ACS (SLACS) survey (Bolton et al. 2008)

•Estimate of the total mass (dark+gas+stars) within re/2

•Representative for early-type galaxies with M*> 1011M (Auger et al. 2009)

 Dynamical mass is a good proxy for the true masses

Our simulations agree well with the observed lensing mass plane, observed FP still uncertain...

The 'dynamical' mass FP from simulations



•Dynamical modeling of Coma early-type galaxies (Thomas et al. 2007)

Direct estimate of total stellar mass within re

 Modeling includes dark matter

 Modeling for cluster galaxies is compared to isolated ellipticals. For central properties a reasonable comparison

Simulated galaxies are reasonable spheroidals with respect to dynamical mass scaling relations

The stellar mass budget



Agreement with 'observations' for low mass galaxies is worse than for high mass galaxies (Wechsler et al., Guo et al. 2010, Moster et al. 2010, Trujillo-Gomez et al. 2010)
 IMF? AGN feedback? Stellar mass loss? Star formation driven winds? etc...

The 'dynamical' mass FP from simulations



•Dynamical modeling of Coma early-type galaxies (Thomas et al. 2007)

Direct estimate of total stellar mass within re

 Modeling includes dark matter

 Modeling for cluster galaxies is compared to isolated ellipticals. For central properties a reasonable comparison

Simulated galaxies are reasonable spheroidals with respect to dynamical mass scaling relations

The fundamental mass plane from strong lensing



•53 field early-type strong gravitational lens galaxies from the Sloan Lens ACS (SLACS) survey (Bolton et al. 2008)

•Estimate of the total mass (dark+gas+stars) within re/2

•Representative for early-type galaxies with M*> 1011M (Auger et al. 2009)

 Dynamical mass is a good proxy for the true masses

Our simulations agree well with the observed lensing mass plane, observed FP still uncertain...

Central dark matter fractions



The average central dark matter fraction agrees with estimates from lensing and dynamical modeling

The origin of stars in massive galaxies



Ex-situ stars form at high redshift (z=4)

Ex-situ stars are accreted
 below z ≈1 at high rates for
 massive galaxies

 In-situ stars start forming at high redshift and continue to contribute to the growth of low mass galaxies until the present day

∘Galaxies assemble half their mass at z ≈1

•More massive galaxies are older [] downsizing (see e.g. de Lucia et al. 2006)

Compact massive ellipticals at $z \ge 2$

- Observations show the existence of evolved, massive >1011M□, compact (r1/2 ≈ 1kpc) galaxies with very low star formation rates at z ≥ 2 (Daddi et al. 2005, Trujillo et al. 2006, Toft et al. 2007, Zirm et al. 2007, van Dokkum et al. 2008, van der Wel et al. 2008, Cimatti et al. 2008, Franx et al. 2008, Damjanov et al. 2008, Bezanson et al. 2009 and others)
- Systems are a factor three to five smaller than present day ellipticals of similar mass and two orders of magnitude denser within re and 2-3 times denser within 1kpc than z=0 galaxies of similar mass (e.g. Bezanson et al. 2009)
- Galaxies are really compact down to low surface brightness limits (H ≈ 28 mag arcsec-2) with no indications for a faint extended component (Szomoru et al. 2010, van Dokkum et al. 2011)
- Galaxies have higher dispersion consistent with being more compact (Cenarro & Trujillo 2009, van Dokkum et al. 2009, Cappellari et al. 2009, van de Sande 2011)
- Massive compact ellipticals are rare (0.03%, at 1011M NO?) in the local Universe (Trujillo et al. 2009, Taylor et al. 2010) but make up about half of the general high redshift population (van Dokkum et al. 2006, Kriek et al. 2006, Williams et al. 2009)

Compact massive ellipticals at z≈2



van Dokkum et al. 2008, Kriek et al. 2006

Galactic collisions: It's gravity!



Size evolution in a high resolution simulation



- Size increase of about a factor four from z=3 to z=0; Sersic index increases weakly
- High z system is significantly flattened, whereas low redshift system is round
- Direct evidence from cosmological simulations for minor merger driven evolution
- Elliptical galaxy formation is a two phase process

Galaxy gallery



M* = 8*1011 M 🗆

Galaxy Gallery



Galaxy gallery

