### How do low-intermediate mass (binaries) evolve ? The post-AGB connection



### **Post-AGB stars**

#### The Egg Nebula



AFGL 618 ADS: 702

Egg nebula ADS: 727 ref (02/03/2010)

> Calabash (OH231) ADS: 403



No good statistical picture of Post-AGB evolution What are the evolutionary channels connecting the individual objects ?

> HD 56126: 218 ref. HD 187885: 122 ref. SAO 239853: 36 ref.



### From AGB over post-AGB to PNe:

- enough riddles to keep us happy for still a while...

**Binary connection:** 

**Post-AGB connection:** 

- Stable disk formation is a mainstream process

LMC-SMC:

- selection of post-AGB stars with disks versus outflows
- preliminary results Challenges in the future

Hans Van Winckel

### Binary samples: AGB → Post-AGB → Pne

**Fast evolution !** 

4000K to 100 000K

 $R_* \sim 1$  AU on the AGB to  $\sim R_sun$ 

Variable often with Large Amplitudes

From very obscured to naked

**Binary Detection Methods: Very diverse and prone to a large variety of observational biases...** 

## **Processes only (?) relevant in binaries: PNe**

- Great majority of PNe are not spherical: axi symmetry; point symmetry jet-like structure are common (Balick&Frank, 2002; De Marco 2008, Zijlstra 07)
- which shaping mechanisms only relevant in binaries ?
- direct evidence for binarity is often poor/lacking
- central star mass distribution in very sharply peaked (crf. talk Zijlstra yesterday)



BUTTERFLIES FROM SPACE

## **PNe and Binarity**

- CSPN: photometric timeseries (Bond 2000, De Marco 2008, Miszalski 2008, 2009)

12-21 % are close binaries with periods < 3d. Result of spiral-in

When specific morphologies are selected (jets, rings, lobes, and symmetrica low-ionization structures): close binary rate is higher Period = 0.1d

- visible binaries (Ciardiullo 1999) very wide orbits
- rv orbits are missing: intermediate orbital range ?



### Young PNe and Post-AGB nebulae

- shaping begins very early after the AGB (during superwind) !
- extremely complex geometries are legio: (multipolar) jets, point-symmetries
- seminal paper by Bujarrabal et al. (2001): 28/32 Post-AGB stars (PPne) showing CO emission, have outflow momenta in excess to what radiation can provide.



Sahai & Trauger 1998

Fig. 1.— HST H $\alpha$  images of very young PNe (He 2-115, left, He 2-138, center and M 1-26, right) demonstrating the extreme morphologies exhibited by these objects. From Sahai & Trauger (1998), reproduced by permission of the AAS.



### **Mid-IR: SURVEYS** Lagadec & Verhoelst, in prep Visir



### **AGB** stars

- P-L relation branches (Wood, 1999), Sequence E are ellipsoidal variables: **1-3% of all luminous giants.** Nicholls et al., 2010





77.7429.189 P = 110.34 days17.2 17.4 17.6 M<sub>B</sub> 17.8 18 16.416.5 ≥ 16.6 16.7 16.8300 v (km/s) 280 260 0.5 1.5 0 1 Phase (Rel to JD245)



stars in binary systems can interact in various ways:



### tidal interaction



wind accretion & tidally enhanced winds



Roche-lobe overflow



common envelope evolution

fig: Pols

(Webbink 1986, Jorissen 1998, Pols 2005 etc.)



- differential gravitational field  $\Rightarrow$  tidal bulges
- if  $\Omega_{spin} \neq \Omega_{orb}$  or  $e \neq 0$ : frictional dissipation  $\Rightarrow$  lag of tidal bulges  $\Rightarrow$  torque
- minimum energy at constant  $J_{\text{tot}}$ :  $\Omega_{\text{spin}} = \Omega_{\text{orb}}$  and e = 0

#### fig: Pols, Izzard

### **Binary Evolution: wind accretion**







Bondi-Hoyle accretion:

$$\dot{M}_{2acc} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{acc} \times \dot{M}_{1wind} \times \left(\frac{v_{wind}}{v_{orb}}\right)^{-4} \left(\frac{M_2}{M_1 + M_2}\right)^2$$

caveat: Bondi-Hoyle only valid for v<sub>wind</sub> >> v<sub>orb</sub> ... not true for AGB winds!

Pols (2004), Nagae et al. (2004)

Garching 2010

#### fig: Pols

### **Binary Evolution: Roche Interaction**



- stability of RLOF depends on  $q = M_1/M_2$  and evolutionary state
- stars with radiative envelopes: stable mass transfer (thermal or nuclear timescale)
- stars with convective envelopes: dynamical instability if  $q > q_{\rm crit} \sim 0.7$

Garching 2010

#### fig: Pols

### **Binary Evolution: Roche Interaction**



- exposes stellar core ⇒ CNO-processed material (or even He-burning material)
- response of companion to accretion: expansion, spin-up  $\Rightarrow$  mass and AM loss from binary system?
- caveat: assumes star has a sharp boundary...
   AGB stars are fluffy!

#### fig: Pols

### **Binary Evolution: Roche interaction**

Radiation pressure reduced effective potential which can have a strong effect on the mass-flow in the system



Phillips & Podsiadlowski 2002, Dermine et al., 2009

## **SS Lep:** Verhoelst et al., 2007

Algol Paradox: M-star + A-star (not in equilibrium) M-star is resolved (Vinci) and filling its Roche-Lobe: mass-transfer in action !





## **Binary Evolution: Common Envelope...**

outcome is unpredictable....



### The Post-AGB connection: near-IR excess

Luminosities ~ 1000-10000 L\_sun Dust at sublimation temperature



these are the binaries !

### **Galactic Sample ~ 80 objects**



De Ruyter et al., 2006; Gielen et al., 2008, 2009

### **Binarity rate: non-pulsating one : 100%**



### Mercator Telescope Hermes spectrograph



R~90 000 fibre fed 370-900 nm







### e-log(P) diagram: post-AGB stars: 28 orbits so far



Periods AND high eccentricities are NOT expected !

Phase of strong binary interaction in the past.

Now all objects are within the Roche lobes

binary evolutionary tracks !!

### Mass estimates: companion-mass under limits



**Inclinations are uncertain** 

Mass functions: 0.0008 to 0.95

**Assuming inclination of 90 degrees** 

Assuming M1 = 0.6 solar mass

**Intrinsic metallicity: -1.0 to solar** 

In none of the systems symbiotic activity : <u>M2 likely unevolved</u> parent population of these objects has a wide range of properties

### Interferometry: resolving the processed CS environment





The VLT Array on the Paranal Mountain

ESO PR Photo 14a/00 (24 May 2000)

© European Southern Observatory

#### MIDI : N-band: near peak SED

**AMBER:** photosphere-hot dust region

# Flash-Back: Tuesday 2/3/2010



### **Basic Disk structure:**

#### passive **disc** radiative transfer model: Dullemond et al., 2002; 2004





## **Sample Results**



### **Overview Results**



### **Overview:** Results



### Model: SED+interferometric constraints





strong crystallinity

Gielen et al. 2009 + in prep

Garching 2010

35

35

40

40



## **Proto-Planetary disks**



# Flash-Back finished...



## **Photospheric Depletion: Feedback from disk**

#### cfr. talk Gustafsson on the Solar analogues



Abundance patterns ~ gas phase abundance of ISM

You lose the nucleosynthetic history

Can be very efficient (down to [Fe/H]=-4.8)

**Accretion** of circumstellar gas

Disc is needed to guarantee low density and long timescale (Waters et al., 1992)

### <u>Depletion in the LMC RV Tauri stars</u>



Macho 82.8405.15: [Fe/H] = -2.1 ; [Zn/Fe]=+2.2; [S/Ti]=+2.2

(Reyniers et al., 2007; Gielen et al., 2009)

### **Post-AGB stars in the LMC: RV Tauri stars**



## **Depletion in the LMC**



#### Gielen et al., 2009



## SAGE-post-AGB project

Sage dataproduct (Meixner et al. 2006)

- 2 epoch IR photometry LMC
- 6.9 million sources observed with IRAC
- 40 000 with MIPS



Garching 2010

Selection criteria tuned to find optically visible post-AGB stars with IR excesses (discs + outflows) :

 8 & 24 micron detection
 F(24) > 0.4 x F(8)
 Cross-correlation with 3 optical catalogs: → 5613 objects

## LMC Sample post-AGB stars: L-cut



van Aarle et al. 2010 in prep.



## HR-diagram of disc sources: half (?) of post-AGB candidates have a disc (prelim.)





### **Post-AGB stars with hot dust:**

- SEDs of post-AGB stars with near-IR excess are connected to stable circumbinary disks
- The disks are **passive (protoplanetary)**
- They avoid very efficiently spiral-in despite CE.
- Disk formation is a mainstream process
- Strong dust processing (crystallinity, growth)
- All discs contain O-rich dust
- Long IR lifetime
- Disk-Binary interaction: e-pumping ? (Bonacic-Martinovic et al. 08) accretion ! (depletion) mass-loss ! (P-Cygni profiles)

will determine evolution

Disks prevail : important ingredient in any binary evolution model

### Future challenges:

Combine all data into one complete picture of the current disk structures How do those disks form ? How do the disks evolve ? Does it impact on the evolutionary timescale ? Is there a connection to (asymmetric) PNe ?

What is the **impact of the disk** on the evolution of the central star ? (depletion; mass-loss history etc.)

What is the **relation** between binary post-AGB stars and other objects like Ba-stars, symbiotics, CH-stars, sdBs, bipolar Pne, CV's etc. etc. (long term dedicated rad.vel.monitoring: orbital connection)

Detailed comparison between **YSO and post-AGB disks** 

## LMC post-AGB sample:

- Thanks to SAGE: come to a **complete picture of disc and outflow sources** in the LMC-SMC with well constraint Luminosities.
- Place the objects in HR-diagram, and connect them to tailored (binary) evolutionary tracks.
- Detailed chemical analysis for 3<sup>rd</sup> dredge-up/depletion processes
- Get statistics correct with respect to outflow sources
- Get good constraints on formation and evolution of the discs
- Get orbital distribution of LMC stars.... the hard way

### **Potential of LMC stars: nucleosynthesis**

