# Spectroscopic Subcomponents in Visual Double Stars: the Most Probable Values of their Physical and Orbital Parameters. Application to the System WDS 14404+2159

José A. Docobo and Manuel Andrade

Astronomical Observatory R.M. Aller Universidade de Santiago de Compostela P.O. Box 197 Santiago de Compostela, Spain oadoco@usc.es, oandrade@usc.es

**Summary.** Double stars with spectroscopic subcomponents are very interesting objects from many points of view. In this way, determination of their orbits and masses has become a key step in its study. We show a method that allows to calculate, both from orbits and Hipparcos parallax, the most probable values of all stellar masses, spectroscopic binary inclination, angular separation between their components and even a spectral types' estimate. We apply this method to triple system WDS 14404+2159 (a double star with a single-lined spectroscopic subcomponent) and compare our results with other estimations.

### 1 Methodology

#### 1.1 Notation

We will use 1, 2 and 3 subscripts to refer to Aa, Ab and B components, respectively.  $M_j$  will be the absolute magnitude of the component j;  $m_j$ , the apparent visual magnitude and  $\mathcal{M}_j$ , its mass. The subscript 12 is used to refer to the spectroscopic subsystem. Moreover,  $\Delta M$  will be the difference between absolute magnitudes of the components of the spectroscopic subsystem.

Once we have calculated the masses, the semi-major axis and the inclination of the spectroscopic orbit can be computed. New apparent visual magnitudes are also given, as well as spectral types of all components.

The semi-major axis and inclination can be added to the known spectroscopic orbital elements. Therefore, the angle of node will be the only orbital element that remains unknown.

#### 1.2 Jaschek's criterion

If the subsystem is a single-lined spectroscopic binary, we can suppose from [1] that  $\Delta M = M_2 - M_1 \ge 1$ .

#### 1.3 Basic Steps

#### **Compatible Parallax Values**

We compute the parallax by means of:

$$\log \pi = \frac{M_1 - m_{12} - 5}{5} - \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + 10^{-0.4\Delta M}).$$
 (1)

Eq. 1 provides the parallax values depending on  $M_1$  and  $\Delta M$ , which are compatible with the margin of error given by Hipparcos.

For each value of  $M_1$  and  $\pi$ , we calculate the corresponding value of  $M_2$  (obtained from  $\Delta M$ ) and  $M_3$  (inferred from  $m_3$  and  $\pi$ ).

From now on, we will work with mean values of the three absolute magnitudes and the mean parallax.

#### **Estimation of Spectral Types and Masses**

The spectral types will be estimated from the calibration given in [2], and the individual masses will be calculated from a statistical fit given in [3] and reproduced here in Table 1. These equations, valid only for a certain range of spectral types, Table 1, give functional relations between the mass and the spectral type for components of a binary system, where the coefficients a and b take several values depending on the luminosity class. On the other hand, s is a continuous variable defined in [4] that represents the spectral class.

**Table 1.** Estimation of masses  $\mathcal{M}$  from the spectral type s

$\mathcal{M}$	a	b	Spectral range
$(a+b\ e^{-s})^2$	$1.34\pm0.17$	$20.8\pm2.5$	B4 - K4
$a \ s^b$	$19.29\pm0.86$	$-1.660 \pm 0.065$	B0.5 - K3.5
$a + \frac{b}{s^2}$	$-0.117\pm0.090$	$27.47\pm0.61$	B0.5 - M6
Note: Here $e$ is the number $e$ .			

Moreover, the mass function for the spectroscopic binary is:

$$\frac{(\mathcal{M}_2 \sin i)^3}{(\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2)^2} = 3.985 \cdot 10^{-20} k_1^3 P (1 - e^2)^{\frac{3}{2}},\tag{2}$$

with  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  the masses of components 1 and 2, respectively; *i*, the inclination;  $k_1$ , the radial velocity amplitude; *P*, the period; and *e*, the eccentricity. In this way, we can estimate the minimum mass and the latest spectral type of the secondary spectroscopic component.

## 2 Application to WDS 14404+2159

#### 2.1 Data

HD 129132 is known as a triple system, see [5]. Its Hipparcos parallax is  $\pi_{\text{Hip}} = 9.47 \pm 0.71$  mas.

The combined apparent magnitude of the spectroscopic subsystem is  $m_{12} = 6.05$ , while the magnitude of the visual component B is  $m_3 = 7.1$ .

The visual binary orbit was calculated by Barlow and Scarfe [6], who obtained a period of  $9.^{y}268 \pm 0.^{y}019$  and a semi-major axis of  $0.''074 \pm 0.''001$ . From the same reference we take the following orbital elements of the close pair:  $P = 101.^{d}606 \pm 0.^{d}008$ ,  $e = 0.117 \pm 0.007$ ,  $\omega_1 = 140.^{\circ}7 \pm 2.^{\circ}9$  and  $K_1 = 19.0 \pm 0.1$  km s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.2 Results

$\mathcal{M}_1 \ (\mathcal{M}_\odot)$	$2.66\pm0.24$	$Sp_1$	A3IV
$\mathcal{M}_2 \; (\mathcal{M}_\odot)$	$1.44\pm0.10$	$Sp_2$	F2V
$\mathcal{M}_3 \; (\mathcal{M}_\odot)$	$2.13\pm0.10$	$Sp_3$	A5V
$\mathcal{M} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\odot}  ight)$	$6.23 \pm 0.28$		
$\pi$ (mas)	$9.48 \pm 0.30$	$M_1$	$1.14\pm0.05$
a (AU)	$7.81 \pm 0.27$	$M_2$	$2.98\pm0.07$
$a_{12}$ (AU)	$0.656 \pm 0.023$	$M_3$	$1.99\pm0.17$
$a_{12} \pmod{2}$	$6.22\pm0.29$	$m_1$	$6.26\pm0.05$
$a_1 (AU)$	$0.231 \pm 0.019$	$m_2$	$8.09\pm0.07$
$i (^{\circ})$	$49.8(130.2) \pm 5.5$	$m_3$	$7.11\pm0.17$

Table 2. The final results for WDS 14404+2159

The results are shown in Table 2 and the orbit is drawn in Fig. 1. We have also calculated the maximum separation of the spectroscopic subsystem  $\rho_{\text{max}} = 6.78$  mas.

A plausible model for this system is given in [6], with  $\mathcal{M}_1 = 1.97 \mathcal{M}_{\odot}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_2 = 1.29 \mathcal{M}_{\odot}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_3 = 1.82 \mathcal{M}_{\odot}$ . Later, different values were calculated by [7] with the total mass  $(6.633 \pm 1.694 \mathcal{M}_{\odot})$  about 30% larger than the previous estimate by [6]  $(5.08 \mathcal{M}_{\odot})$  and about 6.6% larger than the mass obtained by us  $(6.22 \pm 0.28 \mathcal{M}_{\odot})$ . The estimation given in [6] for the inclination  $(i = 45^{\circ})$  is in good agreement with our result,  $i = 49.^{\circ}8 \pm 5.^{\circ}5$ .

#### 3 Remarks

Although in this work we are dealing with single-lined spectroscopic binaries, we know that this method can also be applied to double-lined spectroscopic binaries. Usually, in this case, we can expect even more accurate results.



Fig. 1. Apparent orbit of the spectroscopic sub-component in WDS 14404+2159. Axis scale in arcseconds.

With regard to the possibility of optically resolving these systems, it can be noted that angular separations will never be larger than a few miliarcseconds.

Theoretically, it would be possible to determine the direction of the motion in the spectroscopic orbit by studying the perturbations due to the visual component *B*. A secular increase of the argument of periastron will suggest retrograde motion  $(i > 90^{\circ})$ , while its decrease will correspond to a direct motion  $(i < 90^{\circ})$ .

## References

- 1. C. Jaschek, M. Jaschek: *The Classification of Stars*, 1nd edn (Cambridge Univ. Press 1987)
- D.F. Gray: The Observation and Analysis of Stellar Photospheres, 2nd edn (Cambridge Univ. Press 1992) pp 430–434
- 3. N.A. Belikov: BICDS 47, 9 (1995)
- 4. C. de Jager, H. Nieuwenhuijzen: A&A 177, 217 (1987)
- 5. W.E. Harper, G.H. Blanchet: Publ. DAO 7, 99 (1937)
- 6. D.J. Barlow, C.D. Scarfe: AJ 102, 2098 (1991)
- 7. C. Martin, F. Mignard, W.I. Hartkopf, H.A. McAlister: AASS 133, 149 (1998)