## Three topics in star formation

1. The Initial Mass Function of Star Formation in Massive Clusters
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## Probing the IMF: Goals

- Quantify the IMF in rich, dense star-forming regions
- dominant contributor to total stellar content of galaxies
- Understand the relationship between IMF; initial conditions

Critical to modeling star-formation in the early universe

## Probing the IMF-Measurements

- JHK photometry
- MCAO images at high Strehl ( $\sim 0.7$ at K-band)
- IFU spectroscopy at $\mathrm{R} \sim 1000$ provides spectral types
- Spectral types + photometry yield:
- $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$
- statistical model of $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{K})$
- N (M) for assumed age


## Probing the IMF: Measurements

AURA NEW INITIATIVES OFFICE III
Galactic Center Superclusters: d = 10 kpc


## Probing the IMF: Measurements

LMC Massive Cluster: d = 200 kpc


R 136
Stellar density ~ 10x Orion Nebula Cluster

## Probing the IMF: Measurements

## Stellar Birthlines for Differing $\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{acc}} / \mathrm{dt}$

How is $\mathrm{dM}_{\mathrm{acc}} / \mathrm{dt}$ related to [Fe/H]; stellar density?


## Probing the IMF: Current Status

- Best available data: HST probes of Arches (MWG); R136 (LMC)
- IMF range limited to $\mathrm{M}>2 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$
- With JWST or MCAO on 8-m telescopes
- IMF can be probed down to hydrogen-burning limit in MWG
- Studies in more distant galaxies in Local Group ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ ) not feasible
- Crowding limits photometric measurements


## Results: 8-m

|  | Limiting K-magnitude |  |  | Limiting Mass |  |  |  | Exposure Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Radius $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ | LMC | M33 | M82 | LMC | M33 | M82 | LMC | M33 | M82 |  |
| 0.5 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3}$ | $<\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $<\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $>200$ | $>200$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $<3$ |  |
| 1.0 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | $<\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $<\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $>200$ | $>200$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $<3$ |  |
| 2.0 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2}$ | $<\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $>200$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | $<3$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $<\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $>\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $<\mathbf{3}$ |  |

does not reach M33, M82

# Resuilts: 30-m GSMT R136-like Cluster 

|  | Limiting K-magnitude |  | Limiting Mass |  |  | Exposure Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Radius $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ | LMC | M33 | M82 | LMC | M33 | M82 | LMC | M33 | M82 |
| 0.5 | $>27.5$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $<\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ | $>200$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ |
| 1.0 | $>27.5$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ | $<\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $>200$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ |
| 2.0 | $>27.5$ | 22.3 | 20 | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 3 | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | $>27.5$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9}$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |

OK for the Local Group

We have also calculated spectroscopic exposure times, but they are not shown here

## Results: 100-m

|  | Limiting K-magnitude |  | Limiting Mass |  |  | Exposure Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Radius $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ | LMC | M33 | M82 | LMC | M33 | M82 | LMC | M33 | M82 |
| 0.5 | $>27.5$ | 15.5 | $<\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | 20 | $>200$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |
| 1 | $>27.5$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |
| 2.0 | $>27.5$ | $>33.5$ |  | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0 0}$ @ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | K=30 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ | $>27.5$ | $>33.5$ | $>36.8$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\sim \mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |

reaches M81 and Cen groups too

- GSMT can establish the link between emerging stellar populations and initial conditions in star-forming regions
- Fundamental to understanding star-formation process
- Essential to understanding galactic evolution
- Size matters!
- Crowding limits photometric accuracy
- Crowding limit scales as d²
- Telescope diameters of 30 m or greater are needed
- The IMF example is representative of a large class of problems that require superb image quality over $\sim 1$ ' FOV


## 2. Characterizing Extrà-Solar Planets



Goal: Characterize exo-planets

- Atmospheric structure; chemistry; rotation; "weather"
- Determine formation mechanism for EGPs

Measurements: R~10 photometry \& R ~ 200 spectra

- Near-infrared (reflected light)
- Mid-infrared (thermal emission)

Role of GSMT: Enable measurements via

- High sensitivity
- High angular resolution


## KEY PÁRAMETERS: 30m GSMI

| $\lambda$ | $5 \lambda / \mathrm{D}$ | Separation @ 10pc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.2 \mu$ | 40 mas | 0.4 AU |
| $4.7 \mu$ | 160 mas | 1.6 AU |

Aperture is critical to enable separation of planet from stellar image. 100 m telescope => much larger sample

## The Realm of 30 m Telescopes

Exosolar Planet Discovery Space


## Goals

- Image planet at multiple wavelengths ( $R \sim 10$ )
- Classify planet from broad spectral features ( $\mathrm{R} \sim 100$ )
- Analyze atmospheric structure and chemistry ( $\mathrm{R} \sim 1000$ )
- Understand origin via (C,N,O)/H ratios
- High metal abundance suggests an agglomeration origin
- Low metal abundance suggests origin in disk instability
- Determine rotation \& weather via synoptic observations

Star suppressed by $10^{6}$


$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{eq}} \sim 130 \mathrm{~K}
$$

## Class I EGP: Cold Jupiter Mass Planet at 5 AU

Ammonia Ice and Water Clouds produce high reflectivity in near IR


## Class II EGP: Cool Jupiter-Mass Planet at 1.5 AU

Ammonia gaseous; water clouds in troposphere, enhancing NIR reflectivity



Class III EGP: Warm Jupiter-Mass Planet at ~ 0.5 AU

Absorption by gaseous Water, Methane and Molecular Hydrogen Dominate


## Near-IR Characterization,of Exo-Jupiters

$$
1.2 \mu \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{R} \sim 10 \quad \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}=25
$$

| Object Class | Integration Time | Contrast Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class I ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{AU})$ <br> $32 \mathrm{nJy} @ 1.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 1.5 hours | $5 \times 10^{8}$ |
| Class II ( $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{AU})$ <br> 1nJy @ $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 1,500 hours | $1.5 \times 10^{10}$ |
| Class III ( $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{AU})$ <br> 100nJy @ $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.17 hours | $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ |

NB: Calculated times assume NO contribution from parent star

## Miḍ-IR Characterization off Exo-Jupiters

$$
4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{R} \sim 10 \quad \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}=25
$$



| Object Class | Integration Time GSMT R~10 | Contrast Ratio | Integration Time JWST R ~ 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class I (~5 AU) 300nJy @ 4.7 m | 3,000 hours | $2 \times 10^{7}$ | 0.2 hrs |
| Class II (~1.5 AU) <br> 1000nJy @ $4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 250 hours | $7 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.03 hrs |
| Class III (~0.5 AU) <br> 30000nJy @ $4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.3 hours | $2 \times 10^{5}$ | 3 seconds |

NB: Calculated times assume NO contribution from parent star




| Earth-Sun Distance | Integration Time <br> 30m GSMT | Contrast Ratio | Integration Time <br> 100 m OWL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 AU <br> $(5 \mathrm{nJy} @ 1.2 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ | 61 hours | $10^{10}$ | 0.5 hours |
| 0.4 AU <br> $(30 \mathrm{nJy} @ 1.2 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ | 2 hours | $2 \times 10^{9}$ | 0.01 hours |

NB: Calculated times assume NO contribution from parent star
$4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{R} \sim 10 \quad \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}=25$ Distance $=1 \mathrm{AU}$

| Temperature | Integration Time <br> 30 m GSMT | Contrast Ratio | Integration Time <br> 100 m OWL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $500 \mathrm{~K}($ Warm Earth $)$ <br> $(1.3 \mu \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{@} 4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ | 150 hours | $5 \times 10^{6}$ | 1 hour |
| 300 K <br> $(29 \mathrm{nJy} @ 4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $3 \times 10^{5}$ hours | $2 \times 10^{8}$ | 2500 hours |

NB: Calculated times assume NO contribution from parent star


- A 30m GSMT can:
- Detect; classify; analyze young ( t < 100 Myr ) EGPs to $\sim 30$ pc
- Young EGPs more massive than 1 Mj can be seen to TW Hya distance
- Observations can constrain origin scenarios
- Detect \& classify old EGPs in the solar neighborhood ( $\mathrm{d}<10 \mathrm{pc}$ )
- Detect earth-radius planets to distances of several pc
- Star rejections $\sim 10^{9}$ needed
- Exo-earths are marginal for 30 meters, possible for 100 m


# 3. Gas in the Planet Formation Region o Disks: 

## Diagnosing Where and When Planets Form During the Accretion Phase

## Joan Najita \& Steve Strom

## How do Planetary Sysțems Form?

## When, Where? How frequently?

Formation and evolution of planetary systems is complex...
grain coagulation
gas accretion
gap formation
orbital migration dynamical scattering Inter. with other planets
many processes affect evolution of planetary m, a, e

Theory may need help from observations!
Approach: study solar system analogues in the process of formation

To date: outer disks (e.g., millimeter, scattering; > 30 AU) very inner disks ( $<0.2 \mathrm{AU}$ )

Goal: planet formation region at $\mathrm{r}<10 \mathrm{AU}$

## Questions \&Measurements

## vinen Do Planets Form?

Measure gas dissipation timescale
(constrains giant planet formation timescale)
Look for residual gas in low continuum opacity regions (distinguishes between disk dispersal and grain growth, the first step toward giant and
terrestrial planet formation)

## Where Do Planets Form?

Difficult to see young planet in the presence of a disk?
Search for dynamical signatures of planet formation, e.g., gap formation using spectral line diagnostics (location and width of gap constrains planet orbital radius and mass)

## Example GSMT Program: <br> When do planets form?

Measure disk gas content vs. disk radius in sources over a range in age \& environment, esp. dense cluster environment in which the solar system formed.

Infrared Diagnostics of Protoplanetary Disks


Planets Around Normal Stars


Sensitivity \& Distance: 150 pc sparse associations
(Taurus, Cha, Oph)
450 pc nearest dense cluster (Orion)
1 kpc other rich clusters

Target $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$

## Time Requirement

$30-\mathrm{m}$ GSMT
10\% emissivity
CTTS @ 1 kpc
$10 \mu \mathrm{~m} 9 \mathrm{mJy}$ $20 \mu \mathrm{~m} 16 \mathrm{mJy}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} @ 10 \mu \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{n}=25 \mathrm{in} 5 \mathrm{hr}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ @ $20 \mu \mathrm{~m} \quad 20 \quad 7 \mathrm{hr}$

$15 \mathrm{hr} /$ target for 2 settings with calibration and overhead

For 30 targets / cluster with a spread in age 5 clusters
$=250$ nights

## Example GSMT Program:

## Where do planets form?

Goal: Measure $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}$ for a statistically significant sample of protoplanets in systems spread over a range of age and environment.

If $5-10 \%$ of stars form Jupiters,
$\rightarrow$ Recovery of a sample of
~100 protoplanets requires
a survey of 1000 T Tauri stars
$\rightarrow$ need to reach Orion (480 pc)

Sensitivity \& Distance: 150 pc sparse associations (Taurus, Cha, Oph)
450 pc nearest dense cluster (Orion)
1 kpc other rich clusters

Forming Jupiter mass planet at 1AU opens gap 0.3 AU wide.

S/N ~ 300 needed to search for dynamical signature of protoplanet


## - Time Fecicuirementit.

30-m GSMT
10\% emissivity

## CTTS at 450 pc (Orion)

$4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{n}=300$ in 15 min
$45 \mathrm{~min} /$ target with overhead and calibration.
$\rightarrow 1000$ targets in 100 nights
$10 \mu \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{n}=100$ in 4 hr $4.5 \mathrm{hr} /$ target with overhead and calibration
$\rightarrow 1000$ targets in 500 nights


One exciting example: Search for exo-biospheres: Solar system @ 10 parsecs (Gilmozzi et al 2002)

OWL 100 m J Band 80\% Strehil $10^{4} \mathrm{sec}$ $0.4^{4}$ seeing

O11

## Jupiter



## Earth

