## Giant Segmented Mirror Telescope Science Working Group

**Rolf-Peter Kudritzki**<sup>1</sup> for the GSMT Science Working Group

<sup>1</sup> Chair, GSMT Science Working Group Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii

http://www.aura-nio.noao.edu/gsmt\_swg/ http://www.aura-nio.noao.edu/gsmt\_swg/SWG\_Report/SWG\_Report\_7.2.03.pdf

## **Giant Segmented Mirror Telescope**

- Top priority of NAS/NRC 2001 decadal survey
  - 30m segmented primary mirror
  - 10x gain in light gathering power (sensitivity)
  - Diffraction limited, Adaptive Optics (AO),
  - 3x gain in angular resolution (image sharpness)
  - Projected costs ~ \$ 700 M
  - Private/public/international partnership recommended for funding

## **Two incarnations of the GSMT**

TMT – 30m segmented mirror (≈ Keck)
UC, Caltech, AURA, ACURA

 GMT – 20m consisting of seven 8.2m segments spatial resolution ≈ 25m Carnegie, Harvard, Arizona, MIT, Michigan

## TMT: A partnership of CELT, AURA & ACURA



# **GSMT Science Working Group**

Formed July, 2002, by NOAO on request by the NSF

- Identify forefront astrophysical science likely to emerge over next decade
- Science potentially enabled by GSMT
- Design options that can achieve science
- Technologies to be advanced or developed
- Inform the NSF about investments needed
- Become communities advocate in private/public partnerships
- Establish working relationships with groups in Australia, Canada, Europe, Japan, Mexico

## **GSMT SWG Members**

### **Chair: Rolf-Peter Kudritzki, UH IfA Vice-Chair: Steve Strom, NOAO**

#### **SWG Members:**

- Jill Bechtold -- UA
- Mike Bolte -- UCSC
- Ray Carlberg -- U Toronto
- Matthew Colless -- ANU
- Irena Cruz-Gonzales -- UNAM
- Alan Dressler -- OCIW
- Betsy Barton -- UA
- Terry Herter -- Cornell
- Mansanori Iye -- NOAJ

- Paul Ho -- CfA
- Jonathan Lunine -- UA LPL
- Claire Max -- UCSC
- Chris McKee -- UCB
- Francois Rigaut Gemini
- Doug Simons -- Gemini
- Chuck Steidel -- Caltech
- Kim Venn -- Macalester

### http://www.aura-nio.noao.edu/gsmt\_swg/

# **SWG** activity

- Five meetings (plus telecons), July 2002 through February 2004
- Identification of most important science themes for the next decades (input from SWG members, decadal survey, "Connecting Quarks to Cosmos")
- Reports from AO and instrumentation experts
- Presentations by four principal telescope design groups: University of Hawaii, Magellan 20 (Carnegie, Harvard, Arizona, Michigan, MIT), LAT (Cornell, Illinois, Chicago, Northwestern), CELT (Caltech, UC)
- First report published on June 30, 2003
- All activity documented under

http://www.aura-nio.noao.edu/gsmt\_swg

# **SWG** activity

- two meetings with NSF and presentations of report
- presentation of report to CAA of Academy of Sciences
- information session at Seattle AAS meeting 2003
- participation at NOAJ ELT meeting, Tokyo, Jan. 2004
- meeting with ESO SWG, Berlin, May 2004
- contact with JWST SWG
- special session at San Diego AAS meeting Jan. 2005
- all activity documented under

http://www.aura-nio.noao.edu/gsmt\_swg



Connecting the First Nanoseconds to the Origin of Life



Connecting the First Nanoseconds to the Origin of Life

## **Conclusions: Science**

- Fundamental science
  - → connection first structures of Big Bang to origins of life
  - → 3D-structure and chemical evolution of early universe
  - → physics of formation of first stars and galaxies and evolution to mature galaxies of today
  - → nature of dark matter, dark energy
  - → physics of thousands of proto-planetary disks and planet formation
  - → physical characterization of hundreds of extra-solar planetary system
  - $\rightarrow$  formation of terrestrial planets and habitable zones

## **Conclusions: Telescope**

- Unprecedented light gathering power and angular
  - resolution
    - → completely new detections space
    - $\rightarrow$  unanticipated phenomena
- Extensive analysis by several groups

→ costs ~ \$ 700 M

• Formidable technical challenge but no "show stoppers"

## **SWG recommendation**

- Immediate NSF investment in support of a technology program to develop a viable, cost-effective GSMT concept within next four years (echoing decadal survey)
- Proposals should show
  - → evidence of value of proposed investment to multiple GSMT-type programs
  - → proactive commitment to share results among programs
- Coherent supervision and coordination needed
- Investment should result in public access to telescope time

## **Technology Development for ELTs**

Four key areas require technology development to achieve required performance:

- Telescope systems
- Facility Adaptive Optics systems
- Site Evaluation
- Science instruments

### **Future work of the SWG**

- Feedback from community concerning key science
- Develop community-based view of performance goals and requirements for GSMT
- Review scientific instrument concepts
- Monitor progress of technology development
- Scientific feedback to GSMT designing groups
- Establish working relationships with groups abroad
- Work closely with JWST SWG
- Continue reporting to NSF, AAAC, CAA
- Ensure input from U.S. research community