#### Black Holes DRM

Wolfram Freudling Eric Emsellem Alessandro Marconi



Hubble Space Telescope • Faint Object Spectrograph



record for direct measured BH mass

 $M_{BH} \sim 3 \times 10^9$ 

#### $M_{BH}$ - $\sigma$ relation



M<sub>BH</sub> mass vs. bulge velocity dispersion Tremaine et al. 2002

### Evidence for M~10<sup>10</sup> Black Holes

10



#### Evidence for M~10<sup>10</sup> Black Holes



Fabian et al. 2002:

X-ray data of cores of clusters: radiative cooling losses must be balanced by heat source.

accretion on BHs, assume efficiency of 0.1 of rest mass

Figure 3. Total energy required to stem cooling flows. The equivalent ac-

#### Evidence for M~10<sup>10</sup> Black Holes 1010 Black Hole Mass (M<sub>o</sub>) 0 0 Lauer et al. 2007: 107 ApJ 662, 808 < -18 108 $M_v$ - $M_{BH}$ relation -16 -18 -20 -24 1010 applied to brightest cluster galaxies Black Hole Mass (L-based) (BCG) 108 Intermediate Power Law BH Detected 1010 108 10<sup>9</sup> Black Hole Mass ( $\sigma$ -based)





#### number counts vs redshift



## velDisp from SDSS

# Flattening of $M_{BH}$ - $\sigma$ relation for small $M_{BH}$ ?





#### Sphere of Influence



## To what distance can BHs be detected?



#### Goals

- BH in Milky Way out to Virgo distance
- Resolve Sphere of Influence (Sol) for M~10<sup>9</sup> out to z~0.2
- Search for extremely massive BHs M>10<sup>10</sup> out to z~0.3
- Resolve bright stars in circumnuclear region to measure age, metallicity, and velocity - only known in MW

### **BH** Simulations

- 3-d models: multi-Gaussian expansion density distribution (Emsellem et al. 94, Cappellari 2002)
- fit to NGC 3377 (lenticular) and M87 (giant elliptical)
- inclination 90 degrees (edge-on), constant M/L, different values for the BH mass
- V and  $\sigma_v$  for grid of points on sky
- convolve with I-band LTAO PSF
- convolve with spectrum (for now 1 line)
- for now noiseless

 $\begin{array}{l} M_{\rm BH} = 5.0 \ \times \ 10^8 \ {\rm M_o} \\ z = 0.200000 \end{array}$ 









#### Velocity ±150 km/sec

## $\begin{array}{l} M_{\rm BH} = 5.0 \ \times \ 10^6 \ {\rm M_o} \\ z = 0.00300000 \end{array}$





#### Simulation 1: Mass

 $M_{\rm BH} = 5.0 \times 10^{10} M_{\rm o}$ z = 0.200000



$$M_{BH} = 1.0 \times 10^{10} M_{o}$$
  
z = 0.200000



$$M_{BH} = 1.0 \times 10^{\circ} M_{o}$$
  
z = 0.200000





$$M_{\rm BH} = 1.0 \times 10^8 M_{\rm o}$$
  
z = 0.200000



#### Simulation 2: Redshift

 $M_{BH} = 5.0 \times 10^9 M_{o}$ z = 0.01000000



#### Simulation 3: M=5.10<sup>6</sup> at Virgo

 $\begin{array}{l} M_{BH} = 5.0 \times 10^{6} \ M_{o} \\ z = 0.00300000 \end{array}$ 



#### Requirements

- spectral resolution ~ 1000-3000
- angular resolution <5 mas optical spectrograph with fully sampled PSF
- integration times based on ETC, S/N=30 per 5 mas pixel:
  - ~10 minutes for low-mass BH at Virgo
  - 5 to 10 hours for supermassive BHs at z~0.2

#### More Realistic Simulations

- different PSFs
- realistic spectrum
- add noise
- scale host galaxy properties with M<sub>BH</sub>
- recover density/velocity field